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1.0 SUMMARY

1.1 Introduction

This independent NI 43-101 Technical Report (the “Report”) was prepared in connection with a Plan of Arrangement (the “Transaction”), whereby NGEx Minerals Ltd. acquired from NGEx Resources Inc. ("NGEx") its interest in the Los Helados Project and NGEx distributed the NGEx Minerals Ltd. shares to the NGEx shareholders at the effective time of the Transaction. Following completion of the Transaction, NGEx Minerals Ltd. now controls the Los Helados Project.

Subsequent to completion of the Transaction, NGEx Minerals Ltd. applied for listing on the TSXV. This report was prepared in support of the listing application.

Sources of information used in this report include previous technical reports and internal company reports on the project and original work by the report authors. The consultants who are responsible for this Report are Ms. Fionnuala Devine, Mr. Gino Zandonai and Mr. Giovanni Di Prisco, all of whom are independent of the Company.

1.2 Project Description and Location

1.2.1 Location

The Los Helados Project is located in Chile 135 km southeast of the city of Copiapó. The deposit is centred at 28.3408° S, 69.5857° W.

1.2.2 Ownership

The Los Helados project is comprised of claims in Chile owned by NGEx’s Chilean subsidiary, Minera Frontera del Oro S.C.M (MFDO) (the “MFDO” Claims), and claims in Argentina owned by its Argentine subsidiaries RioEx S.A. (the “La Rioja Properties”) and Pampa Exploraciones S.A. (the “Pampa Claims”). NGEx holds an indirect approximately 63% interest in the MFDO Claims, a 60% interest in the La Rioja Properties and a 100% interest in the Pampa Claims. The MFDO Claims and the La Rioja Properties are subject to a joint exploration agreement with Pan Pacific Copper Co., Ltd. ("PPC") which holds the remaining approximately 37% and 40% respective interests. The Los Helados deposit is located entirely within Chile on claims held by MFDO.

NGEx acts as the operator of the project and both parties are required to contribute their pro-rata share of expenditures or dilute their interest in the Project. PPC has not been contributing to project expenditures in Chile since 2015 and as a result the PPC interest in the MFDO claims is being diluted.
For the purposes of this Report, the NGEx parent and subsidiary companies are referred to interchangeably as “NGEx”.

1.2.3 Mineral Tenure and Surface Rights

Legal opinion was provided that supported that in Chile, NGEX is owner of 30 Exploitation Mining Concessions already granted, 97 Exploration Mining Concessions (53 of them already granted and 44 in the process of being granted) and three unilateral and irrevocable options to purchase seven exploitation concessions. The total area covered by the titles in Chile, including overlapping claims, is approximately 31,428 ha. Total area excluding overlapping claims is 20,930 ha. of which 5,628 ha are covered by exploitation concessions. The mineral resource is located on these exploitation concessions, entirely within Chile.

Surface land rights in the area of the Los Helados are held by a local community “Comunidad Civil Ex Estancia Pulido”. NGEx has an agreement (the “Pulido Agreement”) dated August 25, 2016 that provides for surface access and occupation and to conduct exploration and exploitation activities including construction and operation of a mine in return for annual payments and bullet payments on achievement of certain project milestones as well as a 0.6% Net Profits Royalty.

NGEx also has in place two additional agreements with property owners providing for easements to allow transportation along roads which transect the properties.

Legal opinion was provided that supported that NGEx owns five exploitation licenses (minas) in La Rioja Province and one in San Juan Province. Concessions held by NGEx total approximately 4,255 ha. None of the Mineral Resource occurs in Argentina.

The properties in La Rioja are located in the Iglesias Department of the Province of La Rioja, in the area called “Usos Múltiples” (“Multiple Uses”), which is the marginal area of the Laguna Brava Provincial Reserve, where mining activities are fully authorized.

1.2.4 Agreements

The Chile Properties include three separate option agreements for small claim groups within the overall property perimeter in Chile and a Joint Exploration Agreement with PPC.

1.2.5 Royalties

The Government of Chile levies a mining tax that is a tax on operational mining income, applied on a sliding-scale rate basis of between 5% and 14% depending on operating margins. In addition, the Pulido Agreement includes a 0.6% Net Profits Interest royalty.

The properties Nacimientos I, Potro I, Potro II and Potro III are subject to payment of USD2.0M in the event that any of the rights which make up these claims actually become
productive as mining fields. Furthermore, NGEx shall pay the owners a Net Smelting Return royalty of 0.5% of the amount of the project benefits over 10 years, less costs. The property Solitario 17 is subject to a payment of 7% Net Profits Interest.

1.3 Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography

The Los Helados Project is located in Chile, in the Andes Mountains, straddling the Chile–Argentina border. The deposit itself is entirely within Chile. Elevations range from approximately 3,000 m to 5,300 m at the international boundary. Topography is quite rugged on the Chilean (western) slope of the mountains, and more subdued on the Argentine (eastern) slope which is typically comprised of broad, flat-bottomed valleys with moderately steep slopes.

Access to the Project is from Copiapó, a driving distance of about 170 km, or three hours.

The climate in the Project area is dry to arid and the temperatures are moderate to cold. Annual precipitation is about 250 mm, with snow at higher altitudes in the winter. Exploration fieldwork is generally possible from mid-October to early May. It is anticipated that mining operations could be conducted year round.

The most important logistics centre in the region is Copiapó. Copiapó has a population of approximately 150,000 people, an airport with daily scheduled flights to Santiago and Antofagasta, and companies that offer mining and exploration services.

1.4 History

There is no record of significant exploration activity at Los Helados prior to NGEx’s interest. There are no historical Mineral Resource estimates, and no reported production from the area. The Los Helados deposit was discovered by NGEx in 2008.

1.5 Geological Setting and Mineralization

Based on geological features and location, the Los Helados deposit is classified as a porphyry Cu-Au system.

Mineralization at Los Helados is primarily hosted by a Miocene magmatic–hydrothermal breccia that forms a roughly circular, pipe-like body with minimum dimensions of 1,100 m east–west, 1,200 m north–south, and at least 1,500 m vertically. The breccia formed during intrusion of a mid-Miocene dacitic porphyry intrusive system, and developed in the cupola zone of an intermineral porphyry intrusion. A broad halo of moderate to low grade Cu–Au mineralization surrounds the breccia, which diminishes in grade with increasing distance from the breccia contact. The breccia limits have been established by drilling to the west, east and south; however, the northern limit of the
breccia body has not yet been defined. The system also remains open at depth, and the lateral extent of the breccia at depth is poorly constrained by the current drilling.

Four mineral zones are recognized within the deposit based on sulphide occurrence. In order of increasing depth, the zones are: pyrite only, pyrite>chalcopyrite, chalcopyrite>pyrite and chalcopyrite only. This sulphide zoning sequence reflects a progressive downward increase in the amount of chalcopyrite relative to pyrite.

Recent internal NGEx studies have suggested the presence of a discrete, higher-grade breccia phase occurring along the western and southwestern margins of the magmatic–hydrothermal breccia. This high-grade breccia zone has not been fully delineated, and remains open for further extension.

1.6 Exploration

Work programs conducted by NGEx include geological mapping; soil, rock-chip and talus sampling; a number of geophysical surveys including induced polarization (IP)–resistivity, magnetometer, and Mount Isa Mine’s Distributed Acquisition System methodology (MIMDAS) surveys; reverse circulation (RC) and core drilling, and Mineral Resource estimation. A number of environmental baseline studies have been undertaken.

1.7 Drilling

Eight drilling campaigns have been carried out at the Los Helados deposit, from 2006 to 2015. No drilling was conducted during the 2013–2014 season or subsequent to 2015. Drilling to date totals 75,634 m in 88 drill holes, of which five holes (1,366 m) are RC and 83 holes (74,268 m) are core. The core drilling produced 33,936 m of NQ (47.6 mm diameter) core and 40,332 m of HQ size (63.5 mm) core. Three of the core holes were drilled for geotechnical information and have been maintained as whole core (i.e. not sampled for assay).

Core was photographed, logged for detailed lithology, alteration and mineralization features, and (RQD) and recovery data were collected. Several of the drill holes were also logged for geotechnical information.

Core recovery data were not systematically collected on holes drilled before the 2010–2011 campaign. Core recovery from holes drilled at Los Helados between 2011 and 2015 (representing 78% of the total drill metres) averages 97%.

Collar locations were surveyed using a differential global positioning system (GPS) instrument. Down-hole surveys were carried out at 50 m intervals on average, using a Reflex multi-shot instrument up to the 2011–2012 drilling campaign. Starting with the 2012–2013 drilling, an SRG-gyroscope survey was completed for each drill hole by
Comprove Limitada. On average, measurements were collected at 30 m intervals down the hole.

Drill hole orientations are generally appropriate for the mineralization style. The Los Helados deposit is a porphyry system with disseminated mineralization. Reported and described interval thicknesses are considered true thicknesses.

1.8 Sampling and Analysis

Drill holes were typically sampled on 2 m intervals.

A total of 25,158 core samples were systematically measured for specific gravity at Los Helados, beginning with the 2010–2011 drilling program. SG was measured by NGEx technicians using the water immersion method.

Prior to 2009, ALS Chemex (ALS) in Chile was used as the primary analytical laboratory and the analytical package used was a 27-element inductively-coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry method (ICP-AES) following a four-acid digestion, Au fire-assay atomic absorption (AA) finish and trace mercury by cold vapor/AA.

Beginning in 2009, all samples were analyzed by ACME Analytical Laboratories Ltd. (ACME) in Santiago, Chile following sample preparation at ACME’s sample preparation laboratory in Copiapo, Chile.

Sample preparation for core from the Los Helados deposit included drying, crushing to better than 85% passing 10 mesh and pulverizing to 95% passing 200 mesh. Sample digestion was done by a multi-acid attack. Gold was determined by fire assay with an atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) finish based on a 30 g sample. A suite of 37 elements, including Cu, was determined by ICP-emission spectroscopy (ES) analyses. Samples analyzed before the 2010–2011 campaign had Cu re-assayed by AAS only if the ICP result exceeded the detection upper limit of 10,000 ppm. Beginning in 2010–2011, all samples with copper grades over 5,000 ppm Cu were re-assayed by AAS. Starting in 2011–2012, Cu determinations in all samples were done by both ICP and AAS. Mercury concentration was determined by cold vapour/AA in all samples up to 2010–2011.

Prior to 2009, quality control was limited to the preparation and analysis of field duplicates from the drill samples.

A rigorous quality control (QC) protocol was implemented in 2009–2010, beginning with drill hole LHDH05, and has been followed since then with minor variations. Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) includes insertion of standard reference materials (SRMs), coarse blank samples and duplicate samples. A set of 522 pulps, representing 3.5% of total samples for the 2012–2013 drilling campaign at Los Helados, were selected for a second analysis round at ALS in Chile.
1.9 Data Verification

Data verification has been conducted by independent consultants in support of various technical reports on the Project, including by the current Qualified Persons. This work has included field visits (drill collar monumenting; location checks for selected drill collars); witness sampling; QA/QC data reviews; spot checks of the assay database against assay certificates; reviews of the lithology and alteration information in drill core against drill logs; reviews of collar elevations in the database against collar elevations in the digital elevation model provided by NGEx; downhole survey deviation reviews; reviews of QA/QC data including standard, blank and duplicate sample performances; and a review of check sampling on pulps completed by a check laboratory.

A reasonable level of verification has been completed during the work conducted to date, and no material issues have been identified from the verification programs undertaken. The data verification programs undertaken on the data collected from the Project conclude that the data adequately reflect deposit dimensions, true widths of mineralization, and the style of the deposit, and adequately support the geological interpretations, and the analytical and database quality.

1.10 Metallurgical Testwork

A two phase metallurgical test work program was conducted at SGS Minerals S.A. (SGS) laboratories in Santiago, Chile under the supervision of Amec Foster Wheeler. Vendor testing was also conducted by Thyssenkrupp on selected samples from the Los Helados deposit.

The main activities completed during the metallurgical test program carried out were:

- Sample selection for the metallurgical test programs
- Chemical characterization including mineralogical analysis
- Physical characterization
- Gold recovery using gravity processing techniques
- Copper, gold and silver recovery using conventional sulphide flotation practices
- Settling testwork

For the second phase of testwork, composite samples were created to represent production periods from a conceptual mine schedule. Results of locked-cycle tests on each of those composites is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone/Parameter</th>
<th>Global Recovery to Final Concentrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

September 2019
No deleterious elements were noted in the concentrates produced from the testwork completed on Los Helados mineralization. The concentrates are considered to be marketable without incurring penalties for deleterious elements.

### 1.11 Mineral Resource Estimates

The Mineral Resource estimate at Los Helados is unchanged from the previous technical report, and is supported by 74 drill holes (five RC and 69 core), and 35,629 assay results. The estimate was completed in 2014.

The QP responsible for the resource estimate, G. Zandonai, has reviewed the economic and technical parameters used to derive the mineral resource estimate. In his opinion, there have been no material changes to these parameters such that they would impact the resource estimate in any way. Additional technical information has been obtained by the drilling of three diamond drill holes, and the assaying of one of these holes, within the resource volume. This technical information confirmed the validity of the grade distribution within the resource. The resource estimate has not been updated with this additional information as it would not result in a material change in the mineral resource estimate.

In the opinion of the QP, G. Zandonai, the previous resource estimate remains valid with respect to all technical and economic information.

A two-dimensional (2D) geological interpretation based on logged data was completed by NGEx geologists on east–west oriented sections spaced 100 m apart. Two-dimensional lines were then exported from GEMS and imported into the Leapfrog geological modelling software and the final three-dimensional (3D) wireframe solids were constructed.

Statistical analyses were performed for Cu, Au, Ag, Mo, S, Fe and As and SG.

The drill hole assays were composited to 2 m intervals. Depending on the domain, copper grade caps at Los Helados ranged from 2–3%, though most domains were not capped. Gold was capped at 2 g/t Au and Ag at 20 g/t Ag.
Ordinary kriging (OK) and inverse distance squared (ID2) weighting interpolation was done in a single pass. All elements (Cu, Au, Ag, Mo, As, S and Fe) were interpolated using OK.

Model validation was carried out using visual comparison of blocks and sample grades in plan and section views; statistical comparison of the block and composite grade distributions; and swath plots to compare OK, ID2 and NN estimates.

The classification of the Mineral Resources was done as a two-step process. An initial step which considered the geostatistical analysis of Cu grades in the deposit was modified by a final revision to ensure consistency in the classification.

In order to evaluate the potential for reasonable prospects of eventual economic extraction block cave shapes were generated for Los Helados by using different diluted copper equivalent (CuEq) cutoff grades and calculating a conceptual NPV for each shape.

A CuEq grade was calculated using US$3.00/lb Cu, US$1,300/oz Au and US$23/oz Ag, and includes a provision for selling costs and metallurgical recoveries corresponding to the three metallurgical zones defined by depth below surface. The base-case diluted cutoff grade of 0.33% CuEq was determined as the lowest cutoff grade which produced a positive NPV, and the base case Mineral Resource estimate is the sum of all the blocks within this block cave.

1.12 Mineral Resource Statement

The Mineral Resource estimate for Los Helados, assuming block cave underground mining methods, is reported using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards. Indicated and Inferred classifications only have been estimated; no Measured Mineral Resources were classified.

The Mineral Resource estimate was prepared by Mr. Gino Zandonai, RM CMC and has an effective date of April 26, 2019.

Mineral Resource estimate at the base case cutoff grade of 0.33% CuEq is included as Table 1-1. This table also shows the sensitivity of the resource to different cutoff grades. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
Table 1-1: Mineral Resource Estimate for Los Helados (base case is highlighted)

### Los Helados Indicated Mineral Resource

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff (CuEq)</th>
<th>Tonnage (million tonnes)</th>
<th>Resource Grade</th>
<th>Contained Metal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cu (%)</td>
<td>Au (g/t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1,395</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1,733</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2,099</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Los Helados Inferred Mineral Resource

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff (CuEq)</th>
<th>Tonnage (million tonnes)</th>
<th>Resource Grade</th>
<th>Contained Metal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cu (%)</td>
<td>Au (g/t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>There are no Inferred Mineral Resources inside the mining shape at this cutoff grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes to accompany Los Helados Mineral Resource table

1. Mineral Resource estimate has an effective date of April 26, 2019. The Qualified Person for the estimate is Mr. Gino Zandonai, RM CMC.
2. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
3. Mineral Resources are reported using a copper equivalent (CuEq) cutoff grade. Copper equivalent is calculated using US$3.00/lb copper, US$1,300/oz gold and US$23/oz Ag, and includes a provision for selling costs and metallurgical recoveries corresponding to three zones defined by depth below surface. The formulas used are: CuEq% = Cu% + 0.6264*Au (g/t) + 0.0047*Ag (g/t) for the Upper Zone (surface to ~ 250 m); Cu% + 0.6366*Au (g/t) + 0.0077*Ag (g/t) for the Intermediate Zone (~250 m to ~600 m); Cu% + 0.6337*Au (g/t) + 0.0096*Ag (g/t) for the Deep Zone (> ~600 m).
4. Cutoff grades refer to diluted cutoff grades used to generate the corresponding block cave shapes. For each cutoff grade, the tonnes and grade represent the total Indicated or Inferred undiluted material within each of these shapes.
5. Mineral Resources are reported within block cave underground mining shapes based on diluted CuEq grades, US$13.07/t operating costs and include a provision for capital expenditure. The base case cutoff grade of 0.33% CuEq was derived through an economic evaluation of several block cave shapes developed over a range of different cutoff grades and is the cutoff grade which results in a zero net present value.
6. Totals may not sum due to rounding as required by reporting guidelines.

### 1.13 Recommendations

Los Helados is a significant copper-gold-silver deposit that clearly warrants additional work to continue to de-risk it through additional engineering studies. This work should proceed in two phases, leading to the completion of a revised standalone PEA study. None of the recommended work has been initiated as of the date of this report.

The Phase 1 work program comprises updating the mineral resource and investigating options and trade off studies for mine planning.
Assays from drillhole LHDH072 completed in 2015 are available, but have not been incorporated into the current mineral resource. Additional geological studies have also resulted in a slight revision to the geological interpretation. The mineral resource should be updated to incorporate these changes.

Following the resource update, continuation and refinement of the mine planning exercises completed as part of the standalone and Project Constellation PEA’s should be undertaken. The goal of these studies should be to investigate alternate mining methods, such as sub-level caving, which could potentially reduce the up-front capital expenditure requirements and shorten the lead time to production.

A second phase of work should involve updating the previous Los Helados standalone PEA to incorporate the updated resource model and mine planning work, including various project optimizations from the Los Helados portion of Project Constellation.

Information should be incorporated into a stand-alone PEA document. Table 1-2 summarizes the costs to complete Phases 1 and 2 of the recommendations.

Table 1-2: Recommendations Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Phase</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Estimated Costs (US$ x 1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Update</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mine Planning Studies</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mine Design &amp; Production Schedule Optimization</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Studies and Field Work</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study Management and Reporting</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>800</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Terms of Reference

This independent NI 43-101 Technical Report (the "Report") was prepared in connection with a Plan of Arrangement (the "Transaction"), whereby NGEx Minerals Ltd. acquired from NGEx Resources Inc. ("NGEx") its interest in the Los Helados Project and NGEx distributed the NGEx Minerals Ltd. shares to the NGEx shareholders at the effective time of the Transaction. Following completion of the Transaction, NGEx Minerals Ltd. now controls the Los Helados Project.

Subsequent to completion of the Transaction, NGEx Minerals Ltd. applied for listing on the TSXV. This report was prepared in support of the listing application.

Sources of information used in this report include previous technical reports and internal company reports on the project and original work by the report authors.

The consultants who are responsible for this Report are Ms. Fionnuala Devine, Mr. Gino Zandonai and Mr. Giovanni Di Prisco, all of whom are independent of the Company.

Currency is expressed in U.S. dollars and metric units are used, unless otherwise stated.

The Report uses Canadian English. For the purposes of this Report, the parent and subsidiary companies are referred to interchangeably as "NGEx".

2.2 Qualified Persons

The following serve as the qualified persons (QPs) for this Technical Report as defined in National Instrument 43-101, Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects, and in compliance with Form 43-101F1.

- Fionnuala Devine, M.Sc., P.Geo.
  - Sections 1 (except 1.10, 1.11, 1.12), 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 23, 24, 25 (except 25.7, 25.8), 26, 27.

- Gino Zandonai, RM CMC.
  - Sections 1.11, 1.12, 14, 25.8.

- Giovanni Di Prisco, Ph.D., P.Geo.
  - Sections 1.10, 13, 25.7.

2.3 Site Visits and Scope of Personal Inspection

Gino Zandonai visited the Los Helados site on January 9, 2012 and has been to the core logging facility twice between January 2012 and October 2012. During the site visit, Mr. Zandonai reviewed the data collection and drill programs in support of resource estimation. During the core facility visit, he reviewed a number of activities and
processes related to core logging, core storage, geological interpretation and data management.

Fionnuala Devine visited the Los Helados core facility in Copiapó on January 15, 2014 and January 30, 2015. She spent nine days at the project site from February 25th - March 5th, 2015 to map the surface geology, during which time drilling was underway.

Her most recent visit to the project area was in February, 2017, when she spent six days on site, supervising and guiding the geological mapping of the Cerro Blanco area undertaken by staff geologists. This personal inspection is considered current as there has been no new material scientific or technical information about the property since then.

Since February 2017, Ms. Devine has had frequent contact with NGEx company personnel during subsequent field seasons (post-2017) and has maintained current knowledge of work completed on various of the Company’s projects including Los Helados. Through this contact she has confirmed that there has been no new material scientific or technical information about Los Helados since her last visit in February of 2017.

2.4 Effective Dates

The Effective Date of this report is April 26, 2019.

2.5 Information Sources and References

The key information sources for the Report included previous technical reports and the reports and documents listed in Section 2.6 (Previous Technical Reports), Section 3.0 (Reliance on Other Experts), and Section 27.0 (References) of this Report.

Additional information was sought from NGEx personnel where required.

2.6 Previous Technical Reports

The following technical reports have been filed on the Los Helados Project by NGEx:


3.0 RELIANCE ON OTHER EXPERTS

The QPs have relied upon the following other expert reports, which provided information regarding mineral rights, surface rights, property agreements, royalties, and taxation of this Report as noted below.

3.1 Ownership, Mineral Tenure and Surface Rights

The QPs have not independently reviewed ownership of the Project area and the underlying property agreements. The QPs have also not independently reviewed the Project mineral tenure and the overlying surface rights. The QPs have fully relied upon, and disclaim responsibility for, information derived from NGEx staff and legal experts retained by NGEx for this information through the following documents:

- Bofill Mir & Álvarez J – Title Opinion Letter to TSX-V, August 5, 2019;

This information is used in Section 4 of the Report and in support of the Mineral Resource estimate in Section 14.

3.2 Environmental, Permitting and Social

The QPs have not independently reviewed the Project environmental, permitting and social information. The QPs have fully relied upon, and disclaim responsibility for, environmental and social information derived from experts retained by NGEx for this information through the following documents:

- BGC Engineering, 2014a: Los Helados Linea Base Preliminar Geociencias: report to NGEx, October 2014
- BGC Engineering, 2014b: Los Helados Línea Base Preliminar Aire y Agua: report to NGEx, October 2014
- BGC Engineering, 2014c: Los Helados Línea Base Preliminar Biota Terrestre: report to NGEx, October 2014
- BGC Engineering, 2014d: Los Helados Linea Base Preliminar Medio Humano: report to NGEx, October 2014
• BGC Engineering, 2015a: Los Helados, Josemaría, and Filo del Sol – Cryology Summary: report prepared for NGEx, October 2015


• BGC Engineering, 2015c: Los Helados Project Baseline Summary: report prepared for MFDO, October 2015


• BGC Engineering 2015e, Los Helados Línea Base Preliminar Clima y Aire, report prepared for MFDO, August 2015

• BGC Engineering 2015f, Los Helados Línea Base Preliminar Geociencias, report prepared for MFDO, August 2015

• BGC Engineering 2015g, Los Helados Línea Base Preliminar Agua, report prepared for MFDO, October 2015

• BGC Engineering 2015h, Los Helados Línea Base Preliminar Limnología, report prepared for MFDO, October 2015

• BGC Engineering 2015i, Los Helados Línea Base Preliminar Biota Terrestre, report prepared for MFDO, October 2015

• BGC Engineering 2015j, Línea Base Geoquímica – Caracterización de Mineral y Estériles, report prepared for MFDO, September 2015

This information is used in support of the Mineral Resource estimate in Section 14.
4.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

4.1 Project Location

The Los Helados deposit is located about 125 km southeast of the city of Copiapó in Chile (Figure 4-4-1). The approximate deposit latitude and longitude centroid is 28.3408° S, 69.5857° W (decimal degrees, WGS84 datum).

Figure 4-4-1: Project Location Plan

![Project Location Plan](image)

Note: Figure after Charchaflié, 2012. Red stars on plan indicate projects held by NGEx, crossed picks are operating mines or development properties held by third parties.

4.2 Project Ownership

The Los Helados project is comprised of claims in Chile owned by NGEx’s Chilean subsidiary, Minera Frontera del Oro S.C.M (MFDO) (the “MFDO” Claims), and claims in Argentina owned by its Argentine subsidiaries RioEx S.A. (the “La Rioja Properties”) and Pampa Exploraciones S.A. (the “Pampa Claims”). NGEx holds an indirect approximately 63% interest in the MFDO Claims, a 60% interest in the La Rioja Properties and a 100% interest in the Pampa Claims. The MFDO Claims and the La Rioja Properties are subject to a joint exploration agreement with Pan Pacific Copper Co., Ltd. (“PPC”) which holds the remaining approximately 37% and 40% respective interests.

The Los Helados mineral resource is entirely located in Chile, on the MFDO Claims.
4.3 Joint Exploration Agreement

Part of the Los Helados project is subject to a Joint Exploration Agreement (Joint Venture) with Pan Pacific Copper Co., Ltd. (the PPC JEA), whereby NGEx holds approximately a 63% interest and PPC holds approximately a 37% interest in the MFDO Claims, and NGEx holds a 60% interest and PPC holds a 40% interest in the La Rioja Properties. PPC is a Japanese mining and smelting company that is owned by JX Nippon Mining and Metals and Mitsui Mining and Smelting.

The PPC JEA applies to the MFDO Claims and the La Rioja Properties, however the terms of the agreement are slightly different for each property.

On the MFDO claims, each party (Participant) in the PPC JEA is expected to fund its pro-rata share of expenditures or be diluted. If the Participant interest in the Joint Venture is diluted to below 5%, the Participant interest will automatically convert to a 0.5% net smelter return royalty (NSR). The Pan Pacific Copper JEA includes a reciprocal right of first offer in the event that one Participant wishes to sell its interest.

PPC has not been contributing to their share of expenditures on the MFDO Claims under the JEA since 2015. As a result of this, they are incurring ongoing dilution of their project interest resulting in the NGEx interest increasing and the PPC interest decreasing, over time, in proportion to expenditures on the project.

On the La Rioja Properties, PPC is deemed to have funded US$ 3.5 million in expenditures which is offset against future funding obligations of PPC on the La Rioja Properties. Therefore the ownership interest on the La Rioja Properties is 60% in favour of NGEx and 40% in favour of PPC.

For as long as NGEx holds at least a 50% interest in the Joint Venture, NGEx has the right to act as the Operator.

4.4 Mineral Tenure (Chile)

Legal opinion was provided that supported that in Chile, NGEX is owner of 30 Exploitation Mining Concessions already granted, 97 Exploration Mining Concessions (53 of them already granted and 44 in the process of being granted) and three unilateral and irrevocable options to purchase 7 exploitation concessions. The 44 concessions in the process of being granted are being constituted to replace mining concessions held by MFDO that have expired recently, or will soon expire.

The total area covered by the titles in Chile, including overlapping claims, is approximately 31,428 ha. Total area excluding overlapping claims is 20,930 ha. of which 5,628 ha are covered by exploitation concessions. The Los Helados deposit is covered by concessions “Limite 23 1 al 245” and “Limite 24 1 al 215”. All of the property in Chile, including the option agreements, is subject to the PPC JEA as described above.
Details of the identification number, status, area in hectares and name of the titles are presented in Error! Reference source not found. to Error! Reference source not found. Figure 4-2 is a location plan showing the mineral tenure.

Third parties may have pre-emptive rights to some of the area covered by the properties. These are listed in the tables below, where applicable.

The exploration concessions in the process of being granted listed in Table 4-4 are being constituted to replace exploration concessions listed in Table 4-3 which will expire in 2019.

4.4.1 Option Agreements

The Los Helados property includes three separate Option Agreements for small claim groups within the overall property perimeter as described below.

Table 4-1: Exploitation Mining Concessions Under Option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCESSION</th>
<th>NATIONAL ID NUMBER</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>HOLDER</th>
<th>HECTARES</th>
<th>THIRD-PARTY PRE-EMPTIVE RIGHTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS 1/5</td>
<td>03203-3263-K</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>Guillermo Borchert Poblete</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODILIA 1/20</td>
<td>03203-2900-0</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>Judith Billik Folatre</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVELYN 1/10</td>
<td>03203-4385-2</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>Inmobiliaria e Inversiones Borchert y Billik Limitada</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANDREA 1/10</td>
<td>03203-4384-4</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>Inmobiliaria e Inversiones Borchert y Billik Limitada</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL RANCHO III 1/36</td>
<td>03203-2398-3</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>Inmobiliaria e Inversiones Borchert y Billik Limitada</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL RANCHO 1/60</td>
<td>03203-2396-7</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>Inmobiliaria e Inversiones Borchert y Billik Limitada</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAPOLEON II 1 AL 10</td>
<td>03203-4396-8</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>Inmobiliaria e Inversiones Borchert y Billik Limitada</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Borchert Option Agreement**

By public deed dated August 14, 2012 before the Copiapó notary public of Mr. Luis Contreras, Mr. Guillermo Borchert Poblete granted to NGEX an irrevocable option to purchase the exploitation concession "Los Helados 1/5". NGEX may exercise the Option Agreement any time until February 27, 2022.
The purchase price of the Option Agreement is US$875,000, to be paid in installments during the term of the Option Agreement. To the date of this report, NGEX has made advance payments totalling US$432,000, with a balance of US$443,000 remaining to exercise the option. There are no work commitments.

**Billik Option Agreement**

By public deed dated August 14, 2012 before the Copiapó notary public of Mr. Luis Contreras, Ms. Judith Perla Billik Folatre granted to NGEX an irrevocable option to purchase the exploitation concession "Odilia 1/20". NGEX may exercise the Option Agreement any time until February 27, 2022.

The purchase price the each Option Agreement is US$875,000, to be paid in installments during the term of the Option Agreement. To the date of this report, NGEX has made advance payments totalling US$432,000, with a balance of US$443,000 remaining to exercise the option. There are no work commitments.

**Sociedad Contractual Minera Borchert Billik Option**

Sociedad Contractual Minera Borchert Billik granted NGEX a unilateral and irrevocable option on 28 February 2013 to purchase the exploitation mining concessions “El Rancho 1 al 60”, “El Rancho III 1/60”, “Napoleón II 1/10”, “Evelyn 1/10” and “Andrea 1/10”. NGEX may exercise the Option Agreement any time until February 27, 2022.

The purchase price of the Option Agreement is US$1,150,000, to be paid in installments during the term of the Option Agreement. To the date of this report, NGEX has made advance payments totalling US$776,000, with a balance of US$374,000 remaining to exercise the option. There are no work commitments.
Table 4-2: Exploitation Mining Concessions (Granted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCESSION</th>
<th>NATIONAL ID NUMBER</th>
<th>HOLDER</th>
<th>HECTARES</th>
<th>THIRD-PARTY PRE-EMPTIVE RIGHTS¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 1 AL 40</td>
<td>03203-4788-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 2 AL 40</td>
<td>03203-4789-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 3 AL 26</td>
<td>03203-4790-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 4 AL 35</td>
<td>03203-4791-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 5 AL 51</td>
<td>03203-4792-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 6 AL 49</td>
<td>03203-4793-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 7 AL 30</td>
<td>03203-4794-7</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 9 AL 10</td>
<td>03203-5806-K</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 8 AL 174</td>
<td>03203-6215-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 9 AL 158</td>
<td>03203-6216-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 10 AL 96</td>
<td>03203-6217-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 11 AL 235</td>
<td>03203-6218-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 12 AL 141</td>
<td>03203-6219-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 13 AL 20</td>
<td>03203-6220-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 14 AL 200</td>
<td>03203-6221-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 15 AL 200</td>
<td>03203-6222-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 16 AL 220</td>
<td>03203-6223-7</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 17 AL 198</td>
<td>03203-6224-5</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 18 AL 199</td>
<td>03203-6225-3</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 19 AL 190</td>
<td>03203-6226-1</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 20 AL 143</td>
<td>03203-6227-k</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 21 AL 110</td>
<td>03203-6228-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 22 AL 14</td>
<td>03203-6229-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 23 AL 245</td>
<td>03203-6230-k</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 24 AL 215</td>
<td>03203-6231-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 25 AL 129</td>
<td>03203-6232-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 26 AL 190</td>
<td>03203-6233-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 27 AL 218</td>
<td>03203-6235-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE TERCERA AL 100</td>
<td>03203-6214-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMITE 26 A AL 11</td>
<td>03203-6234-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ If yes, the surface (hectares) where MFDO holds pre-emptive rights is indicated in brackets.
Table 4-3: Exploration Mining Concessions (Granted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONCESSION</th>
<th>NATIONAL ID NUMBER</th>
<th>HOLDER</th>
<th>HECTARES</th>
<th>EXPIRATION DATE</th>
<th>THIRD-PARTY PRE-EMPTIVE RIGHTS²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REFUGIO I 29</td>
<td>03203-E764-K</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>Yes (112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 48</td>
<td>03203-E788-7</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>Yes (250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 45</td>
<td>03203-E787-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 40</td>
<td>03203-E786-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>Yes (290)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 36</td>
<td>03203-E785-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 33</td>
<td>03203-E784-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>Yes (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 29</td>
<td>03203-E783-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 26</td>
<td>03203-E782-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 21</td>
<td>03203-E781-K</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>Yes (275)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 17</td>
<td>03203-E780-1</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 14</td>
<td>03203-E779-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REFUGIO I 28</td>
<td>03203-E805-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>Yes (145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 9</td>
<td>03203-E807-7</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 47</td>
<td>03203-E817-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 43</td>
<td>03203-E816-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 39</td>
<td>03203-E815-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>Yes (298)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 35</td>
<td>03203-E814-K</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² If yes, the surface (hectares) where MFDO holds pre-emptive rights in each specific property is indicated in brackets.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well Name</th>
<th>Z / Coded Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Liner</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 31</td>
<td>03203-E813-1</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 3</td>
<td>03203-E806-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 28</td>
<td>03203-E812-3</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>Yes    (120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 24</td>
<td>03203-E811-5</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>Yes    (180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 20</td>
<td>03203-E810-7</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>Yes    (150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 16</td>
<td>03203-E809-3</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 12</td>
<td>03203-E808-5</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.10.2019</td>
<td>Yes    (175)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 4</td>
<td>03203-E778-K</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.26.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGDA I 27B</td>
<td>03203-E868-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.31.2019</td>
<td>Yes    (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 5</td>
<td>03203-E843-3</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.31.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 44</td>
<td>03203-E864-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.31.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 37</td>
<td>03203-E860-3</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.31.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 25</td>
<td>03203-E855-7</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.31.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 18</td>
<td>03203-E851-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.31.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 10</td>
<td>03203-E847-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.31.2019</td>
<td>Yes    (250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 8</td>
<td>03203-E846-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>11.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 6</td>
<td>03203-E844-1</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>11.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 50</td>
<td>03203-E867-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>11.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 46</td>
<td>03203-E865-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 42</td>
<td>03203-E863-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11.03.2019</td>
<td>Yes    (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS SEGUNDA 38</td>
<td>03203-E861-1</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11.03.2019</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCESSION</td>
<td>NATIONAL ID NUMBER</td>
<td>HOLDER</td>
<td>HECTARES</td>
<td>EXPIRATION DATE</td>
<td>THIRD-PARTY PRE-EMPTIVE RIGHTS³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS HELADOS II N</td>
<td>03203-F548-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>02.04.2021</td>
<td>Yes (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³ If yes, the surface (hectares) where MFDO holds pre-emptive rights is indicated in brackets.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOS HELADOS II</th>
<th>Deposit Code</th>
<th>MFDO</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II J</td>
<td>03203-F544-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.04.2021</td>
<td>Yes¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II F</td>
<td>03203-F540-5</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.04.2021</td>
<td>Yes¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II B</td>
<td>03203-F537-5</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.04.2021</td>
<td>Yes¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II P</td>
<td>03203-F550-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.05.2021</td>
<td>Yes (240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II L</td>
<td>03203-F546-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.05.2021</td>
<td>Yes¹⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II H</td>
<td>03203-F542-1</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.05.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II D</td>
<td>03203-F539-1</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.05.2021</td>
<td>Yes¹⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II 51</td>
<td>03203-F535-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.05.2021</td>
<td>Yes (150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II O</td>
<td>03203-F549-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.17.2021</td>
<td>Yes (250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II K</td>
<td>03203-F545-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.17.2021</td>
<td>Yes (150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II G</td>
<td>03203-F541-3</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.17.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II C</td>
<td>03203-F538-3</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>02.17.2021</td>
<td>Yes¹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II M</td>
<td>03203-F547-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>03.21.2021</td>
<td>Yes²⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II I</td>
<td>03203-F543-K</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>03.21.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II A</td>
<td>03203-F536-7</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>03.21.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹⁴ Can’t be calculated due to uncertain national boundary location.
¹⁵ Same as footnote #4.
¹⁶ Same as footnote #4.
¹⁷ Same as footnote #4.
¹⁸ Same as footnote #4.
¹⁹ Same as footnote #4.
²⁰ Same as footnote #4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REFUGIO II</th>
<th>SSN</th>
<th>MFDO</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Retained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26*</td>
<td>03203-F657-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.16.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22*</td>
<td>03203-F656-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.16.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18*</td>
<td>03203-F655-K</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.16.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13*</td>
<td>03203-F654-1</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.16.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*</td>
<td>03203-F653-3</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.16.2021</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*</td>
<td>03203-F652-5</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.16.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>03203-F651-7</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.16.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27*</td>
<td>03203-F664-9</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.27.2021</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>03203-F661-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.27.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11*</td>
<td>03203-F662-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.27.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23*</td>
<td>03203-F660-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.28.2021</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19*</td>
<td>03203-F663-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.28.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15*</td>
<td>03203-F659-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.28.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>03203-F677-0</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.30.2021</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>03203-F676-2</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.30.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>03203-F675-4</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.30.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>03203-F674-6</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.30.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>03203-F673-8</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.30.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>03203-F672-K</td>
<td>MFDO</td>
<td>05.30.2021</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 Same as footnote #4.
| REFUGIO II 7' | 03203-F658-4 | MFDO | 200  | 06.09.2021 | No  |
| REFUGIO II 25 | 03203-F691-6 | MFDO | 200  | 06.27.2021 | No  |
| REFUGIO II 21 | 03203-F690-8 | MFDO | 300  | 06.27.2021 | No  |
| REFUGIO II 17 | 03203-F689-4 | MFDO | 100  | 06.27.2021 | No  |
| REFUGIO II 14 | 03203-F688-6 | MFDO | 300  | 06.27.2021 | No  |
| REFUGIO II 10 | 03203-F687-8 | MFDO | 300  | 06.27.2021 | Yes (280) |
| REFUGIO II 6  | 03203-F686-K | MFDO | 300  | 06.27.2021 | No  |
| REFUGIO II 1  | 03203-F685-1 | MFDO | 200  | 06.27.2021 | No  |
4.4.2 Surface Rights

Surface land rights in the area of the Los Helados are held by a local community “Comunidad Civil Ex Estancia Pulido”. NGEx has an agreement (the “Pulido Agreement”) dated August 25, 2016 that provides for surface access and occupation and to conduct exploration and exploitation activities including construction and operation of a mine in return for annual payments and bullet payments on achievement of certain project milestones. There is a 0.6% Net Profits Interest payable under this agreement.

NGEX also has in place two additional agreements with property owners providing for easements to allow transportation along roads which transect the properties.

4.4.3 Royalties and Encumbrances

The concessions are not subject to royalties, back-in rights or other obligations in favour of third parties and all concessions are free of mortgages, encumbrances, prohibitions and injunctions, with the exception of a 0.6% Net Profits Interest (“NPI Royalty”) as part of the Pulido Agreement. The NPI Royalty is payable after recovery of investment required to develop a mining operation on the project.

Chilean government royalties are levied in the form of a mining tax on operational mining income, applied on a sliding-scale rate basis of between 5% and 14% depending on operating margins.

4.4.4 Permits

Under resolution Nº 73, dated 4 May, 2006, the III Region office of the National Environmental Commission (CONAMA III Region) approved the Environmental Impact Declaration (DIA) presented by NGEX for the first stage of exploration of the Los Helados Project. Under this resolution, NGEX was authorized to conduct an exploration campaign that could include an aggregate amount of 4,000 m of drilling.

Through resolution Nº 71, dated 21 March, 2012, the CONAMA III Region approved a subsequent DIA presented by NGEX for the expansion of exploration activities at Los Helados. Under this resolution, NGEx was authorized to expand the exploration campaign with an approval for an aggregate amount of 180,000 m of drilling to be completed within three years. NGEx has not yet requested to the environmental authority to renew its exploration authorizations.

4.4.5 Environmental Liabilities

Existing environmental liabilities are limited to those associated with exploration-stage properties, and would involve removal of the exploration camps and rehabilitation of drill sites and drill site access roads.
4.5 Mineral Tenure (Argentina)

Legal opinion was provided that supported that NGEx owns five exploitation licenses (minas) in La Rioja Province and one in San Juan Province. Concessions held by NGEx total approximately 4,255 ha. None of the Mineral Resource occurs in Argentina.

Details of the identification number, status, area in hectares and name of the titles are presented in Table 4-4. Figure 4-2 is a location plan showing the mineral tenure.

The Potro I and Nacimientos I exploitation licenses are affected by the unresolved boundary between the provinces of La Rioja and San Juan, however they overlap, so the area in question will be covered by an NGEx-owned license no matter where the final border is located.

An annual exploration fee due to the Province of La Rioja is paid in proportion to the number of mining units covered by each exploitation license (mina). These fees were increased by the Argentine Government as of the first half of 2015. Each mining unit covers 100 ha and costs ARP$3,200 per year.

The Argentine Mining Code also requires the presentation of a plan of investment for each exploitation license (mina). The plan of investment contemplates a minimum expenditure of 300 times the annual fee and should be accomplished within five years following the presentation.

Table 4-5: Exploitation Mining Concessions for the Los Helados Project in Argentina

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concession</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Hectares</th>
<th>Mining Units</th>
<th>Annual Fee (ARP$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chola 1*</td>
<td>037-F-04</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potro I*</td>
<td>169-F-97</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potro II*</td>
<td>170-F-97</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potro III*</td>
<td>48-F-99</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitario 17**</td>
<td>61-P-96</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacimientos 1**</td>
<td>520-0348-D-99</td>
<td>1,446</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46,272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ARP$ = Argentinean peso
* Part of the La Rioja Properties – owned 60% by NGEx
** Part of the Pampa Claims – owned 100% by NGEx

4.5.1 Surface Rights

The Argentine Mining Code (AMC) sets out rules under which surface rights and easements can be granted for a mining operation, and covers aspects including land occupation, rights-of-way, access routes, transport routes, rail lines, water usage and any other infrastructure needed for operations.

In general, compensation must be paid to the affected landowner in proportion to the amount of damage or inconvenience incurred. However, no provisions or regulations have been enacted as to the nature or amount of the compensation payment.
In instances where no agreement can be reached with the landowner, the AMC provides the mining right holder with the right to expropriate the required property.

The properties in La Rioja are located in the Iglesias Department of the Province of La Rioja, in the area called “Usos Múltiples” (“Multiple Uses”), which is the marginal area of the Laguna Brava Provincial Reserve, where mining activities are fully authorized.

4.5.2 Royalties and Encumbrances

The properties Nacimientos I, Potro I, Potro II and Potro III are subject to payment of USD2.0M in the event that any of the rights which make up these claims actually become productive as mining fields. Furthermore, NGEx shall pay the owners a Net Smelting Return royalty of 0.5% of the amount of the project benefits over 10 years, less costs.

The property Solitario 17 is subject to a payment of 7% Net Profits Interest.

The property Chola 1 is subject to a Net Smelter Return (NSR) payment of 1%, with RioEx retaining a right of first refusal.

4.5.3 Permits

The properties in Argentina have an approved environmental impact report and current permits to allow for exploration activities to take place.

4.5.4 Environmental Liabilities

Environmental liabilities on the La Rioja Properties are limited to reclamation of a few drill platforms and associated access roads.
4.6 Mining Integration and Complementation Treaty

On December 29, 1997, Chile and Argentina signed the "Tratado entre la República de Chile y la República Argentina sobre Integración y Complementación Minera" (Mining Integration and Complementation Treaty between Chile and Argentina; or the Treaty), in an effort to strengthen their historic bonds of peace and friendship, and intensify the integration of their mining activities.

The Treaty provides a legal framework to facilitate the development of mining projects located in the border area of both countries. The Treaty objective is to facilitate the exploration and exploitation of mining projects within the area of the Treaty.

On August 20, 1999, Chile and Argentina subscribed to the Complementary Protocol and, in this way, on July 18, 2001, an Administrative Commission was created.

Additional Protocols have been signed between Chile and Argentina which provide more detailed regulations applicable to specific mining projects.

One of these Protocols, and the first granted for exploration purposes, is NGEx’s “Proyecto de Prospección Minera Vicuña” (Vicuña Mining Prospection Project), dated January 6, 2006. This Protocol allows for prospecting and exploration activities in the Los Helados area, on both sides of the international boundary. The main benefit of the Vicuña Additional Protocol is the authorization which allows for people and equipment to freely cross the border in support of exploration and prospecting activities within an area defined as an "operational area".

In September 2012, the “Proyecto de Prospección Minera Vicuña” was amended by the “Protocol of Amendment to Article 8”. With this amendment, the defined “operational area” was expanded, enabling a new border crossing area to be demarcated.

In late 2018, MFDO filed a presentation before the Committee, requesting it issue a new Specific Additional Protocol for the Los Helados Project, in order to update certain changes in the organization of NGEx and its mining properties. Specifically, the purpose of the new amendment seeks to reflect a new Operation Area for Los Helados and Josemaría projects to accommodate the change in ownership created by the spinout transaction, and a joint Operation Area to be used among the Josemaría, Los Helados and Filo del Sol projects.

As at the date hereof, the Committee has not issued the new protocol, therefore NGEx (and the Los Helados Project) remain to be bound by the terms of the Vicuña Protocol currently in force.
5.0 ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

5.1 Accessibility

The Los Helados Project area is located 130 km southeast of the city of Copiapó, Chile (Figure 5-1). The area is accessible by road, a driving distance of about 170 km from Copiapó. Copiapó has a modern airport, with several daily flights to Santiago.

The C-35 paved road from Copiapó passes in a southeasterly direction through the town of Tierra Amarilla and Punta del Cobre, along the Copiapó River valley, through the small villages of Pabellon, Los Loros, La Guardia, and Iglesia Colorada. After these small villages, the road continues towards the El Potro bridge. At about kilometre 130, the paved road ends, and the last 35 km to the project area is gravel. Access is generally possible during the summer months from September to May, but may be curtailed if there is inclement weather.

5.1.1 Climate

The climate in the Los Helados area is dry to arid and the temperatures are moderate to cold. Annual precipitation is about 250 mm, with snow at higher altitudes in the winter. Exploration fieldwork is generally possible from mid-October to early May.
5.1.2 Local Resources and Infrastructure

The most important logistics centre in the region is Copiapó. Copiapó has a population of approximately 150,000 people, an airport with daily scheduled flights to Santiago and Antofagasta and companies that offer abundant services for mining and exploration.

There is no infrastructure in the area except for the Los Helados exploration camp which is located 15 km towards Copiapó from the deposit, at an elevation of 3,400 masl. The camp consists of portable structures with infrastructure for septic, water distribution and electricity generation.
5.1.3 Physiography

Los Helados is located in the Andes Mountains, straddling the Chile–Argentina border. Elevations range from approximately 3,000 masl near the Los Helados camp to 5,300 masl at the international border. Topography is quite rugged on the Chilean (western) slope of the mountains and more subdued on the Argentine (eastern) slope which consists of broad, flat-bottomed valleys with moderately steep slopes. Los Helados is in a seismically-active area.

The Los Helados deposit is located in a valley with a surface elevation of 4,500 masl. The valley has steep sides to the east and south, and drains toward the north. The elevation change from the bottom of the valley to the top of surrounding peaks is on the order of 800 m.

The Los Helados Project area is arid and there is little or no vegetation on the ridges and only minor vegetation in the valleys that have running water. There is no vegetation at surface overlying the deposit and most of the immediate deposit area is rock and colluvium. At the lower altitudes near the Los Helados exploration camp, there is sparse vegetation consisting of low bushes and grasses.
6.0 HISTORY

There is no reported production from the Project area.

There is no record of significant exploration activity prior to NGEx’s staking of the property in 2004.

The first mineral exploration in the area was carried out by Shell (subsequently Billiton) at the end of the 1980s. This work apparently included geological mapping, rock, talus and stream sediment geochemical sampling, test pits for sampling and mapping, and some geophysical surveying.

In 1994, Barrick Gold apparently worked in the general area for approximately 15 days, sampling stream sediments and rocks for geochemistry, however results are unknown.

Work completed by NGEx is discussed in Section 9.
7.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERALIZATION

7.1 Regional Geology

The Potro area in the central Andes encompasses the crest of the range along the Chile-Argentina border and the area westward into Argentina at approximately 28.5° N latitude (Figure 7-1). It lies within the present-day non-volcanic segment of the Andes, correlative with the flat-slab portion of the subducted Nazca plate.

The basement in the area includes Permian-Triassic granitic and volcanic rocks, intruded by Triassic tonalite-diorite intrusive complexes. The Triassic extensional rift basin deposits and the Jurassic – Early Cretaceous back arc basin sedimentary rocks that are found farther north are not present in the area, and Eocene volcanic and intrusive rocks are preserved predominantly only to the east and north. Latest Oligocene to Miocene porphyry intrusions and associated porphyry Cu-Au and epithermal mineralization occur primarily within the Permo-Triassic basement rocks, but also locally within relatively small remnants of Late Oligocene to Miocene sedimentary and volcanic rocks where they have escaped erosion.

A high degree of tectonic inversion in the area has led to the predominant exposure of basement rocks and the lack of preservation of overlying sedimentary and volcanic sequences. Faults related to extension during the pre-Andean and early Andean arc development were reactivated as early as Late Oligocene, followed by a main pulse of compression and inversion as high-angle reverse faults in the Miocene. The Potro fault is a significant reverse structural feature in the region, responsible for a large degree of upthrow of the Paleozoic basement rocks to the west and their juxtaposition with younger sedimentary units.

Mineral exploration is focused on copper and gold mineralization related to porphyry and epithermal systems developed during the main Late Oligocene to Miocene compressive stage of Andean arc development. The Maricunga belt to the north is notable for its porphyry Au-Cu systems and the El Indio belt to the south, including Pascua Lama, hosts world-class high-sulphidation epithermal deposits. The Potro area has historically been overshadowed by these two high-profile metallogenic belts, partly due to the lack of preservation of extensive Miocene volcanic rocks which was incorrectly interpreted to reflect a paucity of Miocene mineral deposits. Mpodozis and Kay (2003) proposed that the Potro area is in fact prospective for porphyry Cu-Au and epithermal systems, and subsequent work by NGEx has shown this to be the case, with the discovery of Josemaria, Filo del Sol, and Los Helados deposits with Late Oligocene to Late Miocene ages. While the contemporaneous volcanic rocks have been largely removed through erosion, the porphyry and local epithermal systems remain, although they are predominantly developed within the basement and older sedimentary rocks, rather than within Late Oligocene to Miocene volcanic sequences.
Figure 7-1: Late Oligocene-Miocene porphyry belt in the area of El Indio to Maricunga

Note: After Sillitoe et al. (in press) and references therein.
7.2 Project Geology

The Los Helados project area is underlain most extensively by Permo-Triassic rocks assigned to the Choiyoi Group that form the Andean basement in the region (Figure 7-2). They include granite cut by a tonalitic intrusive complex of probable Middle Triassic age. Swarms of andesite dykes, which are typical of the Permo-Triassic in this region, cut the older Permo-Triassic units.

Inferred Late Oligocene to Miocene sedimentary and volcanic rocks are located in the southern part of the area, in the footwall of the Los Helados fault, and to the east in the areas less affected by Miocene uplift. Regional faults, such as the Los Helados fault, were active as early as Late Oligocene, but particularly post-20 Ma when the most significant compressive stage of Andean mountain building began. The uplift due to this compressive event is responsible for the more deeply eroded nature of the area, extensively exposing the basement rocks and eroding the Late Oligocene to Miocene sequences.

The Late Oligocene-Miocene volcanosedimentary rocks are also best preserved south of a northwest-trending structural corridor that includes the Los Helados deposit. Discontinuous, high-angle, commonly sinistral northwest-trending faults are mapped across an area approximately 10km wide. Some south-side down displacement across this domain may be responsible for deeper erosion of the northern domain that includes Los Helados. The Los Helados porphyry system is hosted entirely within basement rocks. Its age of ~13.5 Ma indicates that it was emplaced well into the main stage of Andean uplift when older volcanic sequences had already been uplifted and eroded, leaving younger dacitic porphyritic complexes to be emplaced into the remnant basement.

7.3 Deposit Description

7.3.1 Los Helados

The Los Helados porphyry Cu-Au system is exposed in a high-elevation, northwest-facing cirque with high topographic relief. The bottom of the elongated cirque, where the uppermost part of the deposit is exposed at surface, is at 4500 metres above sea level, while the sides of the valley rise steeply above to 5300 metres on the eastern side: a difference of at least 800 metres. The abundant rock exposures on this steep eastern slope provide the best outcrop for mapping geological relationships at surface, including excellent alteration vectors towards the largely covered deposit in the cirque bottom.
Figure 7-2: Regional Geological Map of the Project Area

Figure 7-2

Figure courtesy NGEx, 2018
The host rocks to the deposit are largely Permo-Triassic in age. Granite is the oldest, and most regionally extensive unit. It is intruded by a tonalitic- to dioritic intrusive complex as well as fine-grained andesite dykes, typical of the Permo-Triassic in the region. A rhyodacitic to rhyolitic feldspar-phyric intrusion has previously been interpreted to pre-date the mafic units, however recent work suggest that the rhyodacitic intrusion is a pre-mineral porphyry of Eocene to Late Oligocene age (Martinez et al., 2015).

The deposit occurs in the upper part of a mid-Miocene porphyry/breccia system that was emplaced into basement rocks and the pre-mineral rhyodacitic intrusion (Figure 7-3). Copper-gold mineralization is predominantly hosted within the magmatic-hydrothermal breccias and contemporaneous dacitic porphyries, with some peripheral mineralization also within the immediate country rock although grades rapidly decline away from the breccia and porphyry intrusive contacts.

A progressive intrusive sequence is defined that includes at least two main hydrothermal breccia events related to discrete magmatic pulses during emplacement of a dacitic porphyry intrusive complex, with dates ranging from ~14 to 13.6 Ma (Guitart, in prep.). A pre-mineral quartz-feldspar porphyry intrusion is cut and overprinted by the intermineral phases. A fine-grained plagioclase crowded porphyry intrusion is interpreted to be contemporaneous and causative of the main, volumetrically most important, hydrothermal breccia body which occurs directly above it within the deposit area. A cement-rich breccia variant occurs along the eastern side of the main breccia body, extending upwards in a pipe-like shape to surface in the northern part of the deposit. A second intermineral porphyry intrusive phase, the bimodal plagioclase porphyry, cuts the matrix-rich hydrothermal breccia as north-trending dykes mainly in the central and eastern side of the deposit. Together, these intermineral phases represent the bulk of the host rock within the deposit, and are the locus of hydrothermal alteration. A late-mineral biotite plagioclase porphyry phase occurs locally at depth as narrow dykes that cut-out grade.

The deposit is situated on a structural zone of high-angle, north-west-trending faults with minor left-lateral and high-angle reverse motion, as well as subsidiary north-south faults. Offsets of the Permian-Triassic units are mapped, and there is evidence for some fault control on the development of alteration zones, implying that the fault zone may have helped localize emplacement of the porphyry system. Some post-mineral movement reported on these faults may in fact be broadly syn-mineral.
Figure 7-3: Los Helados Deposit Geology Map

Note: Figure courtesy NGEx, 2018
Lithology

A section through the central deposit area (Figure 7-4) shows the main lithological relationships.

*Permo-Triassic basement units*

Granite (GRN) underlies the majority of the project area, particularly outside of the deposit area. The medium- to fine-grained granite with minor granodiorite is included within the Montosa-El Potro plutonic complex (265-245 Ma; Martínez et al., 2015).

Narrow, fine-grained mafic (“andesitic”) dykes (PAND) cut both the granite. They are northeast-trending in the deposit area and are vertical to steeply northwest-dipping. They can be difficult to distinguish from fine-grained dykes related to the tonalitic intrusive complex.

An intrusive complex of tonalitic composition (CI) pre-dates the Los Helados breccia body; clasts of the intrusive complex are entrained within the breccia. While it has not been dated directly, a sample of similar lithology was taken approximately 3 kilometres from Los Helados and returned an age of Middle Triassic (Guitart, in prep.). The main mass of the intrusive complex is situated in the north of the Los Helados area. Intrusive breccia textures with coarse-grained tonalite are common, although the unit ranges to plagioclase porphyritic in places. Fine-grained dykes related to the complex trend northeast, are black and fine-grained to aphanitic.

A feldspar-phyric rhyolitic to rhyodacitic intrusive body (DAC) with lateral northeast-trending dykes underlies the area of the east of the deposit. It intrudes the granite but in some sections is interpreted to be cut by mafic dykes. However, mapped relationships support an interpretation that the rhyodacitic dykes cut the andesitic dykes as well as the tonalite intrusive complex. If this is the case, it fits well with Eocene to Late Oligocene age rhyolitic to dacitic porphyry intrusions mapped in the region (Martínez et al., 2015). The rhyodacitic unit is mapped only in the immediate deposit area and its contact with the granite may be a control on the emplacement of the younger Miocene porphyry system.

*Miocene porphyry system*

The earliest Miocene porphyry phase is the quartz-feldspar porphyry (QFP), occurring as a body in the southern part of the deposit. It is included as distinctive quartz-phyric clasts within the later breccia and intrusive phases, and is extensively veined and mineralized.
The first intermineral porphyry phase is a fine-grained plagioclase crowded porphyry (FGPCP), intersected down to 1200 metres that forms intrusive fingers extending upwards into the deposit. It is interpreted to be genetically related to the main matrix-rich hydrothermal breccia body which occurs immediately above it. Fluidized juvenile clasts of the FGPCP are documented within the breccia near the contacts with the porphyry.

The matrix-rich hydrothermal breccia (BXM and BXP) includes several different domains classified based on clast type and matrix component, although it is typically characterized by an abundant fine matrix component (20-30%) with less than 2% hydrothermal cement. Clast types vary with distance from the basement wall rock contacts, as well as with distance from the porphyry intrusions that are cut. The central part of the breccia is polymictic (BXP), including a variety of clast types found within the basement and earlier porphyry phases, with clasts ranging up to several metres in size. In contrast, the outermost parts of the breccia are monomictic (BXM), including only immediately local rock types. The breccia is also transitional into the country rock, grading from areas with transported breccia fragments, to jigsaw fit textures, out to fractured and then coherent wall rock.

A second inter- to late-mineral porphyry intrusive phase, a bimodal plagioclase porphyry, occurs locally as relatively narrow dykes within the system. It contains two sizes of plagioclase phenocrysts, as well as biotite booklets, within a dacitic groundmass. A spatial relationship between this porphyry phase and the cement-rich breccia has been suggested, with possible entrainment of juvenile clasts within the cement-rich breccia that have similar composition.

A late-mineral suite of biotite-phyric weakly porphyritic dykes do not outcrop but are logged at depth within the system. They have sharp contacts with earlier units, and a much lesser degree of alteration and veining.
Figure 7-4: Los Helados Section UTM-6,864,800 (4800) North (looking north)

Note: Figure after Guitart (in prep.)
Alteration

Five main alteration types are recorded within the Los Helados system: potassic, chlorite-sericite, sericitic, advanced argillic and argillic. Each has a distinctive mineral assemblage, which can be significantly controlled by the host rock lithology with a large difference between assemblages in felsic and mafic rocks types. Together, where mapped on surface, these types define an alteration footprint of approximately 3 kilometres north-south and 2 kilometres east-west (Figure 7-5). The steep topography and erosion in the valley bottom into the uppermost part of the deposit allow for clear alteration vectoring to the central part of the system.

The lowermost exposed part of the system at surface includes limited exposures of chlorite-sericite alteration largely overprinted by strong sericitic. The sericitic alteration is strong to intense in the lowermost exposures with a quartz-sericite-pyrite assemblage that gives way upslope and outwards to a sericite-quartz-dominant assemblage with no pyrite. Sericite gives way to illite in the outermost regions with a transition to a weak propylitic halo. Argillic alteration, predominantly montmorillonite, is recorded locally, particularly intense along some fracture zones within the peripheral parts of the system.

In the southernmost southeast part of the system a recrystallization of the granitic host rock is locally accompanied by pyrophyllite and limited northwest-trending sheeted quartz veins. It is interpreted to represent a peripheral manifestation of the upper advanced argillic domain. The central part of the system, at much lower elevations also preserved advanced argillic alteration, largely pyrophyllite, telescoped down to depth within the central part of the system immediately above the breccia body.

The potassic domain is not exposed at surface, and is intersected in drilling from approximately 200 metres to the deepest part drilled to-date at approximately 1200 metres. Biotite is the defining mineral of the potassic domain where mafic host rocks are altered, while K-feldspar dominates in the relatively felsic units. Remnants of overprinted potassic alteration are found in the lowermost outcrops at surface, as inherited quartz-sulphide and quartz-tourmaline veins within intense sericitic alteration.

The chlorite-sericite domain overlies and overprints the potassic domain, with chlorite-hematite defining the assemblage in altered mafic lithologies and chlorite-sericite-clay in the felsic host rocks.
Mineral Zones

Four mineral zones are recognized within the deposit based on sulphide occurrence. Zone definition does not include late pyrite veinlets or the total volume of sulphides present in the rock. In order of increasing depth, the zones are: Pyrite-only (Py); pyrite>chalcopyrite (Py>Cpy); chalcopyrite>pyrite (Cpy>Py); and chalcopyrite-only (Cpy).

This sulphide zoning sequence reflects a progressive downward increase in the amount of chalcopyrite relative to pyrite.

Figure 7-6 is a section through the deposit showing the sulphide zoning sequence in relation to the major alteration zones.
Figure 7-5: Los Helados Deposit Alteration Map

Note: Figure courtesy NGEx, 2018
Figure 7-6: Los Helados Section UTM-6,864,900 North – Alteration and Mineral Zones (looking north)

Note: Figure courtesy NGEx, 2013
Mineralization

The copper–gold mineralization at Los Helados is primarily hosted within the Miocene magmatic–hydrothermal breccia which forms a roughly circular, pipe-like body with minimum dimensions of 1,100 m east–west, 1,200 m north–south and at least 1,500 m vertically. The breccia body is surrounded by a broad halo of moderate to low grade Cu–Au mineralization which diminishes in grade with increasing distance from the breccia contact.

The breccia limits have been established by drilling to the west, east and south; however, the northern limit of the breccia body has not yet been identified. The system also remains open at depth, and the lateral extent of the breccia at depth is also poorly constrained by the current drilling. The eastern contact appears to be subvertical, whereas the western contact dips outwards at roughly 70°, hence the width of the breccia body increases progressively downwards.

Copper grade increases downwards, either in the lower parts of the sericitic zone or in the underlying chlorite–sericite alteration zone, and elevated grades are maintained into the potassic alteration zone. Within the central part of the breccia body, consistent grades on the order of 0.5% Cu and 0.2-0.3 g/t Au in the core zone are flanked by domains of ~0.3-0.4 % Cu and 0.1-0.2 g/t Au. High grade zones exceeding 1% Cu and 1.5 g/t Au are found locally. Although Cu grades typically diminish towards the bottoms of the deepest holes drilled to date, there is an exception in that drill hole LHDH34 encountered some of the better grades of the deposit (>1%Cu and >1.5 g/t Au) in a breccia body at 900 metres.

Gold grades generally correlate well with Cu; however, within the sericitic alteration zones, where pyrite content exceeds chalcopyrite, high Au grades can be locally independent from Cu values and are hosted by narrow veins.

Consistently high Cu and Au grades are present in the potassic and chlorite–sericite zones where chalcopyrite is more abundant than pyrite.
8.0 DEPOSIT TYPES

Based on geological features and location, the Los Helados deposit is classified as a porphyry Cu–Au system. Porphyries are well documented along the Andes and represent a widespread type of deposit in Chile (Figure 8-1).

Porphyry deposits in general are large, low- to medium-grade magmatic-hydrothermal deposits in which primary (hypogene) sulfide minerals occur as veinlets and disseminations within large volumes of altered rock. They are spatially and genetically related to felsic to intermediate porphyritic intrusions (Seedorf et al., 2005). The large size and styles of mineralization (e.g., veins, vein sets, stockworks, fractures, 'crackled zones', and breccia pipes), and association with intrusions distinguish porphyry deposits from a variety of other deposit types that may be peripherally associated, including skarns, high-temperature mantos, breccia pipes, peripheral geothermal veins, and epithermal precious metal deposits. Secondary minerals may be developed in supergene-enriched zones in porphyry Cu deposits by weathering of primary sulfides. Such zones typically have significantly higher Cu grades, thereby enhancing the potential for economic exploitation (Sinclair, 2007).

Porphyry deposits occur throughout the world in extensive, relatively narrow, linear metallogenic provinces. They are predominantly associated with Mesozoic to Cenozoic orogenic belts in western North and South America and around the western margin of the Pacific Basin, particularly within the South East Asian Archipelago. However, major deposits also occur within Paleozoic orogens in Central Asia and eastern North America, and to a lesser extent, within Precambrian terranes (Sinclair, 2007).

Porphyry deposits are large and typically contain hundreds of millions of tonnes of mineralization, although they range in size from tens of millions to billions of tonnes. Grades for the different metals vary considerably but generally average less than 1%. In typical porphyry copper deposits, Cu grades range from 0.2% to more than 1% Cu; Mo content ranges from approximately 0.005% to about 0.03% Mo; Au contents range from 0.004 g/t Au to 0.35 g/t Au; and Ag content ranges from 0.2 g/t to 5 g/t Ag (Sinclair, 2007).
Figure 8-1: Porphyry Copper Belts and Major Porphyry Copper Deposits in the Andes

Note: Figure courtesy NGEx, October 2013; modified from Sillitoe and Perelló (2005)
9.0 EXPLORATION

The Los Helados deposit was discovered by drilling during the 2007-2008 season. The first diamond drill hole, LHDH01, intersected the main breccia body and returned 518 metres grading 0.47% copper and 0.31 g/t gold from 12 metres down hole. This hole was following up on encouraging results from RC holes drilled the previous season. The true significance of the discovery was not recognized until the drilling of hole LHDH16 in the 2010-2011 season. This hole intersected a 737 metre interval of 0.64% copper and 0.30 g/t gold from 40 metres down hole, with the last 8 metres averaging 1.0% copper.

Mineral Resource estimates were completed on behalf of NGEx in 2012 and updated in 2013 and 2014.

A preliminary economic assessment in 2014 studied the Los Helados deposit as a stand-alone block cave mining operation. Two options were evaluated, a 65 kt/d operation and a larger 130 kt/d throughput alternative, based on an assumption of a conventional sulphide flotation process. Under the assumptions in the Los Helados 2014 PEA, underground mining of the Los Helados deposit returned positive economics.

A second PEA completed in early 2016 evaluated Project Constellation, a mining project which combined the Los Helados and Josemaria deposits, both feeding a common processing facility located in Argentina. This study concluded that the project could support long-term copper, gold and silver production and was economically attractive.

9.1 Previous Work

9.1.1 Grids and Surveys

Drill collar data are reported using the WGS84 datum. Survey control for the project area has been done with differential GPS.

The base topography used for Mineral Resource estimation was obtained from PhotoSat Information Ltd. in Vancouver who provided a 5 m digital elevation model (DEM) produced from stereo 2.5 m resolution satellite images.

9.1.2 Geological Mapping

LandSAT and ASTER satellite imagery interpretation was conducted as part of early-stage exploration target definition.

Several phases of geological mapping have been completed at Los Helados, with each phase building on and refining the previous phase. The most current geological map was completed by Fionnuala Devine in February 2015, updated in 2017 with information from Guitart (in prep.), and results are presented in Section 7 of this report.
The most recent phase of geological mapping for the project investigated the area around the Cerro Blanco prospect (Figure 7-2). This mapping project was supervised by F. Devine and completed during the 2016-2017 field season. Road construction to provide access to the entire north slope of the area was also completed as part of this program.

The Cerro Blanco porphyry copper prospect lies on the border between Chile and Argentina, 3 km to the east of the Los Helados deposit.

The Cerro Blanco system is centred on a main plagioclase-biotite-quartz-hornblende porphyry intrusion (with few satellite dykes) that in exposed dimension is roughly 200 metres wide and 750 metres long, north-south elongate. This porphyry body is compositionally similar (nearly identical) to the Sillimanita porphyry intrusions 5 kilometres to the south. The age of both porphyry intrusive complexes is assumed to be Late Oligocene (~27 Ma) based on a K-Ar age on biotite from Sillimanita.

Potassic alteration is preserved within the porphyry intrusions, it is overprinted and surrounded by strong sericite-chlorite-clay (SCC) alteration in the granites. The entire SCC alteration domain is 1 km wide by 2.5 kilometres long, but open to the north. Phyllic alteration flanks and locally overprints the SCC alteration. A marginal propylitic alteration of secondary hematite and chlorite occurs most outboard of all other alteration and is in-part overprinted by the younger Los Helados system.

Copper and gold mineralization is centred on the potassic zone and is strongly spatially coincident with the strongest SCC alteration. Values of 1000 ppm (0.1 %) copper are consistently measured in talus in the area affected by SCC alteration. Single stage quartz ± chalcopyrite veins define a domain 2 km long (N-S) and 500 metres wide. The upper parts of this zone are strongly oxidized and secondary copper and iron minerals are common. The northern mapped extension of the vein zone, at the base of the northern cliffs, is less oxidized and primary chalcopyrite is more common, although the volume of chalcopyrite appears to match the volume of oxidized chalcopyrite in the upper parts of the system.

A post-mineral, high-angle reverse fault (NNE-trending) cuts the Cerro Blanco system. Fault strands enclose post-mineral Miocene volcanic rocks. The mineralized zone is cut-off by this fault which uplifts the western part of the system. Mineralization may be found on the western side of the fault to the north where colour anomalies persist, but have not yet been visited due to limited access.

The lithology map for Cerro Blanco is shown as Figure 9-1 and the alteration map is Figure 9-2.
Figure 9-1: Cerro Blanco Prospect – Lithology
Figure 9-2: Cerro Blanco Prospect - Alteration
9.1.3 Geochemical Sampling

During the period 2004–2010, 156 rock chip, and 322 soil and talus samples were collected. No surface geochemical sampling has been done since 2010.

Rock chip samples returned relatively low copper and gold grades consistent with the observed lithological and alteration assemblages on surface. These results were interpreted to indicate a potential porphyry system at depth.

Soil and talus geochemistry proved to be a useful tool to define the mineralization. Although Cu and Au grades were relatively low in the soil and talus samples, the shape of the resulting anomaly showed a good correlation to surface exposures of dacite and breccias.

9.1.4 Geophysics

IP geophysical surveying was carried out at Los Helados over the main deposit area during the 2005–2006, 2009–2010, 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 field seasons. Magnetometry and two lines of MIMDAS surveying have also been completed.

The IP surveys outline a pyritic halo that shows as a high chargeability ring feature around the breccia body.

9.1.5 Pits and Trenches

Minor surface trenching was completed at Los Helados during the 2004–2005, season with some low-grade copper and gold mineralization detected as a result of the program.

9.2 Exploration Potential

9.2.1 Los Helados Deposit

The Los Helados deposit remains open at depth and to the north. Recent internal NGEx studies have indicated the presence of a discrete, higher-grade breccia phase along the western and southwestern breccia margins. The breccia dips at about 70° to the west, and remains untested at depth.

The genetic model for the deposit, and porphyry deposits in general, describes breccias as occurring within, and being sourced from, the upper portions of mineralizing porphyry systems. Los Helados is unique in that it is a single, very large breccia body rather than a more typical porphyritic intrusive with disseminated and stockwork-hosted mineralization. The genetic model, as well as analogy with other porphyry deposits in the Andes, suggests there is potential to continue to expand the deposit at depth.
Drilling has intersected the boundary between the breccia and host granite to the south, west and east of the deposit; however granite has not been intersected to the north, and the breccia remains open in this direction.

### 9.2.2 Regional Targets

Several exploration targets were developed in the Los Helados area during the surface exploration programs that led to the discovery of the deposit. At that time, prior to the discovery of Los Helados, several targets were being advanced in parallel, ultimately resulting in the initial drill program. Once the Los Helados deposit was discovered, all the exploration effort shifted to deposit definition drilling, and exploration on the other exploration targets was suspended.

These additional targets include geochemical anomalies similar in size and tenor to those that were identified over the known deposit, and have mapped alteration features that are consistent with porphyry-style mineralization. The highest-priority targets occur along two parallel north–south-oriented trends interpreted to represent large-scale structural breaks. The western trend includes the Los Helados deposit, while the eastern trend links the Josemaría deposit in the south with the Caserones deposit in the north.

Given that porphyry deposits occur in clusters, and the exploration targets are in the vicinity of the Los Helados deposit, there is excellent potential to identify new porphyry-hosted mineralization. Additional exploration work is recommended in order to continue to advance them.

### 10.0 DRILLING

Eight drilling campaigns have been carried out at the Los Helados deposit, from 2006 to 2015. No drilling was conducted during the 2013–2014 season or subsequent to 2015. All drilling was done by NGEx, including the deposit discovery holes.

Drilling to date totals 75,634 m in 88 drill holes (Table 10-1), of which five holes (1,366 m) are RC and 83 holes (74,268 m) are core. The core drilling produced 33,936 m of NQ (47.6 mm diameter) core and 40,332 m of HQ size (63.5 mm) core. This drilling includes three holes (LHDHG01, LHDHG02 and LHDHG03) drilled for geotechnical information which were not sampled for assay.

The drilling included a number of holes drilled in one season and subsequently re-entered, and deepened in a later season. If this deepening was successful, no new drill-hole name was created. For some holes, however, the drill string wedged off the main hole creating a daughter hole starting at the kick-off depth in the main hole. In these cases, the daughter hole was indicated by a -1 or -2 following the original drill-hole name.
Drill hole collar locations are shown in Figure 10-1.

**Table 10-1: Drill Summary Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Drill Type</th>
<th>Number Of Holes</th>
<th>Metres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006–2007</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007–2008</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009–2010</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010–2011</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2012</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012–2013</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013–2014</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**     | 88         | 75,634          |
Figure 10-1: Drill-Hole Collar Location Map

Note: Figure courtesy NGEx, 2015. Holes shown in red are three drill holes drilled subsequent to the resource estimate, during the 2014–2015 field season (LHDH72, LHDHG02 and LHDHG03). Only one of these drill holes, LHDH72 was assayed, the other two were geotechnical holes and have been retained as whole core.
10.1.1 Geological Logging

Drill core was transported by pickup truck by company personnel from the drill sites to the Los Helados camp. At the camp core logging facility, the core was photographed, logged for RQD and recovery, and a quick log of the key geological features was prepared. The core was then packaged for delivery by NGEx personnel to the company’s core logging and sampling facility located in Copiapó for sampling, detailed logging, and core storage.

10.1.2 Geotechnical Logging and Testing

Specific geotechnical core logging was performed on six drill holes (3,350 m) to estimate the rock mass rating ($RMR_{L90}$) with 18 UCS laboratory tests and 717 point load tests also performed.

Subsequent to this, a dedicated block cave geomechanics study was conducted, which included drilling two oriented geotechnical drill holes (2,100 m). Testing included; televiewer surveys, Lugeon testing (also known as Packer testing, which is an in-situ testing method widely used to estimate the average hydraulic conductivity of rock formations), and 230 point load tests.

An additional geomechanics laboratory testing program was conducted consisting of 84 UCS tests, 46 elastic property tests, 51 tensile tests, and 55 triaxial tests. Geotechnical logging, televiewer surveys and Lugeon tests were also performed on a single core hole (1,100 m) drilled as part of this campaign.

10.1.3 Recovery

Core recovery data was not systematically collected on holes drilled before the 2010–2011 campaign. Visual inspection by Charchaflié (as reported in Charchaflié and Le Couteur 2012), indicated that overall recovery was very good and was estimated to be more than 90%.

Starting with the 2011–2012 field season, core recovery and RQD were measured at the camp. Recovery was measured with a metric tape between drill core marks, annotated and the percentage recovery calculated. RQD was calculated as the total length of recovered core that exceeded or equals 10 cm.

Core recovery from holes drilled between 2012 and 2015 averages 97%.

10.1.4 Collar Surveys

Drill collar locations were surveyed using a differential GPS system.
10.1.5 Downhole Surveys

The RC holes and the first four core holes were not surveyed down-hole for azimuth or inclination. Measurements from LHDH23 and LHDH24 were accidentally erased before being downloaded to a computer. All other holes were surveyed for down-hole deflection.

Down-hole surveys were carried out using a Reflex multi-shot instrument up to the 2011–2012 drilling campaign. On average, measurements were collected at 50 m intervals down the hole.

For the 2012–2013 and 2014–2015 drilling, a SRG-gyroscope survey was completed for each drill hole by Comprobe Limitada. On average, measurements were collected at 30 m intervals down the hole.

10.1.6 Sample Length/True Thickness

The Los Helados deposit is a porphyry deposit with disseminated mineralization. Reported and described interval thicknesses are considered true thicknesses. A drill section through the deposit illustrating the typical drill orientations in relation to the mineralization is illustrated in Figure 10-2.
Figure 10-2: Example Drill Section 4900 N

Note: Figure courtesy NGEx, October 2013. Figure illustrates drill hole orientations, and provides histograms showing copper and gold grade variations including areas of higher grades in a lower-grade interval. The lithological interpretation shown has been simplified from the actual more detailed geological interpretation used in the wire-framing.
11.0 SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSES, AND SECURITY

11.1 Sampling Methods

11.1.1 Surface Sampling

Soil and talus samples were collected from small holes deep enough to sample the interval below the iron-cemented horizon. Talus samples were composited from 10 stations located within 5 m along 100 m long, east–west or north–south oriented lines. Sampled material was finer than #10 Tyler mesh.

Rock outcrops and trenches were sampled by collecting approximately 1–3 kg of chips. The sample location, length and a geological description were recorded.

11.1.2 Drill Sampling

RC holes drilled during the 2006–2007 campaign were sampled on 2 m intervals.

Drill core was sampled continuously from the beginning of recovery to the end of the hole. Samples are generally 2 m long (except for the initial drill holes, LHDH01 to LHDH04, which were sampled on 1 m intervals). Core was oriented in the core box prior to sampling to ensure that vein material would be evenly sampled. Drill core was cut in half using a circular, water-cooled rock saw. Half-cores were randomly weighed and compared in order to verify that 50% of the material was sampled.

One half of the core was used as a geochemical sample and the other half was stored in boxes or trays for reference and future revisions. Prior to 2011, rice sacks were delivered to the laboratory using a private courier with dispatch tracking. Beginning in October 2011, samples were delivered directly to the ACME preparation facilities in Copiapó by NGEx personnel, considerably reducing turn-around times from previous programs.

11.2 Density Determinations

A total of 25,158 core samples have been systematically measured for SG, beginning with the 2010–2011 drilling program. Specific gravity was measured by NGEx technicians using the water immersion method at the Company core logging and sampling facility in Copiapó. Density information for each lithological domain is shown in Table 11-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 11-1: Los Helados Specific Gravity Values by Lithological Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BX M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11.3 Analytical and Test Laboratories

ALS Chemex (ALS) in Chile was used as the primary analytical laboratory for the five RC holes. At the time of analysis, ALS held ISO9001 accreditations for selected procedures.

The primary assay laboratory for the core drilling programs has been ACME Laboratories in Chile (ACME). ACME is an internationally certified laboratory. In 1994, ACME began adapting its Quality Management System to an ISO9000 model. ACME implemented a quality system compliant with the ISO9001 Model for Quality Assurance and ISO/IEC17025 General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories. In 2005 the Santiago laboratory received ISO 9001:2000 registration and in July 2010 the Copiapó facility was added to the Santiago registration. The Santiago hub laboratory has also been ISO 17025:2005 compliant since 2012. ISO/IEC 17025 includes ISO 9001 and ISO 9002 specifications, CAN-P-1579 (Mineral Analysis) for specific registered tests by the Standard Council of Canada. CAN-P-1579 is the Standard Council of Canada’s requirements for the accreditation of mineral analysis testing laboratories.

Vigalab SA (Vigalab; now part of the Intertek Group) was used as an umpire (check) laboratory. At the time of the analyses, Vigalab held ISO9001:2009 accreditation.

11.4 Sample Preparation and Analysis

11.4.1 RC

For the RC drill program, the analytical package used was a 27 element suite via four-acid digest, ICP-AES analysis and for gold, a fire-assay AA finish. Mercury was analysed by cold vapour/AA.

11.4.2 Core

ACME’s sample preparation started with organizing the received batch and assigning a job order. Samples were sorted and weighed. If the number of samples differed from that indicated on the requisition, NGEx was contacted. Sample preparation continued with:

- Drying in a large electric oven with temperature control
- Crushing to better than 85% passing 10 mesh
- Splitting to a 0.5 kg subsample
- Pulverizing the subsample to 95% passing 200 mesh
- Screening to pass 200 mesh.
Bags with 150 g of pulp were submitted internally to ACME’s assaying facilities in Santiago.

Sample digestion was done by a multi-acid attack with the exception of one submission during the 2009–2010 campaign.

Gold was determined by fire assay with an atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) finish based on a 30 g sample. A suite of 37 elements, including copper, was determined by ICP-emission spectroscopy (ES) analyses.

Samples analyzed before the 2010–2011 campaign had Cu re-assayed by AAS only if the ICP result exceeded the upper detection limit of 10,000 ppm. Beginning in 2010, all samples with copper grades over 5,000 ppm Cu were re-assayed by AAS. Starting in 2012, Cu determinations in all samples were done by both ICP and AAS.

Mercury concentration was determined by cold vapour/AA in all samples up to 2010.

11.5 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

11.5.1 RC

Thirty two field duplicates representing 3.2% of total samples were analysed, but no blanks or standard materials were inserted in sample batches to control laboratory performance.

As there are only five RC holes in the deposit and the 1,366 m of drilling in these holes represents about 2% of the current drill metres, the lack of quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) data for the RC drilling is not a significant risk to the resource estimate.

11.5.2 Core

Insertion Rates

No QA/QC program was in place for samples from drill holes LHDH01 to LHDH04, from the 2009–2010 drill program, which corresponds to 2,540 samples representing 3.6% of the metres drilled.

A quality control program was implemented for the 2009-2010 drilling campaign, beginning with LHDH05, and has been in place for all subsequent drill programs. The 2010–2011 campaign included two standards, whereas for subsequent campaigns three standards were used. Coarse blank samples and duplicate samples were inserted and collected from the beginning of the QA/QC programs.
Reference Materials

NGEx acquired CRMs from SGS Argentina and CDN Laboratories and used these CRMs for drill programs completed prior to 2012.

NGEx used materials from Los Helados to create SRMs for the 2011–2012 and 2012–2013 drilling campaigns. The samples were prepared by Vigalab. Coarse rejects were selected from drill-hole intervals in the database with assayed Cu and Au grades. Each grade range was used to generate a standard for that range. The resulting standard material was subject to round-robin analysis at four laboratories in Chile, ACME, Actlabs, ALS and Vigalab. Each laboratory received one envelope of each of the three standard materials. Data from the four laboratories were considered in assigning best values to the SRMs.

Coarse Blanks

NGEx obtained blank material from an andesite outcrop located near Los Helados for the 2011–2012 drilling campaign. During the 2012–2013 campaign, material used for blanks was white quartz, which was purchased in Copiapó.

Duplicates

NGEx collected field duplicates, coarse duplicates and pulp duplicates during both the 2011–2012 and 2012–2013 drilling campaigns.

11.5.3 External Assay Checks

A set of 522 pulps, representing 3.5% of total samples for the 2012–2013 drilling campaign, were selected for a second analysis round at ALS in Chile.

11.6 Databases

Drill hole data are stored in a GEOVIA GEMS database, which is a Microsoft Access database platform created and manipulated using GEMS.

Data stored for each drill hole include collar information, downhole surveys, codes and comments for lithology, alteration and mineralization, assays, specific gravity, magnetic susceptibility, recovery, RQD and metallurgical sample information.

11.7 Sample Storage

Drill core is stored in a core storage yard in Copiapó. RC drill chips are stored in lidded, plastic core trays, most of which are also kept in Copiapó.

The laboratory returned the pulps and coarse reject for each sample that was sent for analysis. These are stored at the Copiapó facility.
During 2015, due to unseasonable heavy rains, a portion of the drill core stored in the facility was affected by flooding, and the core and sample pulps and rejects were moved to a new facility, also in Copiapó.

11.8 Sample Security

The logging facility is fenced, locked when not occupied, and is secure. Samples are handled only by company employees or their designates (i.e. laboratory personnel).

NGEx noted that samples are in the control of an NGEx employee or contractor to NGEx from the time they leave the site until they arrive in Copiapó.

11.9 Comments on Section 11

Sample collection, preparation, analysis and security are in line with industry-standard methods for porphyry deposits.

Specific gravity data are collected using industry-standard methods. There are sufficient estimates to support tonnage estimates for the various lithologies.

Drill programs included insertion of blank, duplicate and standard reference material samples. QA/QC program results do not indicate any problems with the analytical programs.

The QP is of the opinion that the quality of the Cu, Au and Ag analytical data is sufficiently reliable to support Mineral Resource estimation without limitations on Mineral Resource confidence categories.

12.0 DATA VERIFICATION

12.1 Gino Zandonai

Data verification included witness sampling; QA/QC data reviews; spot checks of the assay database against assay certificates; and the lithology and alteration information in drill core were reviewed and checked against the drill logs. No issues that would affect Mineral Resource estimation were noted from the reviews. This verification was completed by G. Zandonai, a QP for the current report.

12.2 Fionnuala Devine

Data verification included nine days of surface mapping over the deposit area and correlation of the geological information with the drill database. Work was completed with the assistance of reviews and discussions with NGEx geologists. During the 2014 season the core facility in Copiapó was visited including a review of the deposit geology and the logging procedure. Most recently, Ms. Devine was responsible for updating the
geological map of the deposit area, which included confirmation of relationships in a standalone 3D model of all available drill data. The same model was used to confirm information presented in this report.

12.3 Comments on Section 12

The QP considers that a reasonable level of verification has been completed at Los Helados during the work conducted to date, and that no material issues would have been left unidentified from the verification programs undertaken.

Mineral Resource estimates and preliminary mine planning can be supported by the data collected.
13.0 MINERAL PROCESSING AND METALLURGICAL TESTING

13.1 Metallurgical Testwork

13.1.1 Introduction

Two Los Helados metallurgical testwork programs were conducted at SGS Minerals S.A. (SGS) in Santiago, Chile in 2013 and 2015. Two separate reports were produced by SGS for the Los Helados Project:

- Programa Metalúrgico de Conminución y Flotación en Mineral de Cobre - Oro, Proyecto Los Helados - 2013

Vendor testing was also conducted by Thyssenkrupp on selected samples from the Los Helados deposit.

The main activities completed during the development of the metallurgical test program were:

- Sample selection for the metallurgical test programs
- Chemical characterization including mineralogical analysis
- Physical characterization
- Gold recovery using gravity processing techniques
- Copper, gold and silver recovery using conventional sulphide flotation practices
- Settling testwork.

13.1.2 Geometallurgical Domains

In Phase I of the program, tests were conducted on three different composite samples representing different depths within the deposit (Table 13-1). Each composite was made up of 20 individual drill core sub-samples. The goal at the time was to select samples that were representative of the deposit grades and lithologies from three depth intervals. Upon completion of the first metallurgical testwork program, it was concluded that the deposit was largely homogeneous throughout with respect to chemical and physical characteristics.
An updated geological model was subsequently developed for Los Helados, which led to the second testwork phase which focused primarily on the characteristics of the deposit at different periods within a conceptual block cave production plan. In the second round of metallurgical testwork the deposit homogeneity was confirmed. Three separate composites were created representing production years 1–7, production years 8–15 and production years 16 onward (16+) of a conceptual production plan (Table 13-2). Generally, material for years 1-7 is located at the bottom of the core of the deposit, years 8-15 material is concentrically outward of the core and years 16+ material is near-surface and around the periphery of the deposit.

The portions of the individual samples that remained following creation of the Phase II samples were used to create 30 variability samples for comminution and flotation testwork.

### 13.1.3 Head Sample Characterization

Representative splits from each of three different composite samples from each of the Phase I and II programs were chemically analyzed for contained elements. The results show that there was some variability in Cu feed grades for all of the composite samples, and low impurity levels throughout the deposit (Table 13-3 and Table 13-4).
**Table 13-2: Composite Description, Los Helados Phase II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposit Zone</th>
<th>Main Characteristic</th>
<th>Proportion Of Tonnage This Zone Represents In The Deposit (%)</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years 1–7</td>
<td>Early production period</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Years 1–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 8–15</td>
<td>Mid production period</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Years 8–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 16 onward</td>
<td>Later production period</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Year 16+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 13-3: Head Grade Chemical Characterization, Los Helados Phase I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID/Test</th>
<th>CuT %</th>
<th>Fe %</th>
<th>S %</th>
<th>Au g/t</th>
<th>Ag g/t</th>
<th>Mo ppm</th>
<th>As ppm</th>
<th>Hg ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper zone</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate zone</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep zone</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 13-4: Head Grade Chemical Characterization, Los Helados Phase II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID/Test</th>
<th>CuT %</th>
<th>Cu Sol %</th>
<th>Fe %</th>
<th>S %</th>
<th>Au g/t</th>
<th>Ag g/t</th>
<th>Mo ppm</th>
<th>As ppm</th>
<th>Hg ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+16 years</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CuT = total copper; Cu Sol = soluble copper.

**13.1.4 Mineralogy**

A QemScan mineralogical analysis was completed on representative splits of each of the samples for the Phase I and Phase II programs to better understand the mineralogy of each of the zones in the deposit. The analysis showed that the samples contain mainly quartz and phyllosilicates, indicating that the amount and type of gangue mineral is consistent at different depths within the deposit.

Other minor minerals noted in the samples include feldspars, Fe and Ti oxides, pyrite, and Cu sulphide minerals.

The pyrite to copper sulphide weight ratio is shown in Table 13-5. The higher the pyrite to copper sulphide ratio, the more difficult it can be to separate Cu minerals from pyrite using conventional sulphide flotation techniques.
Table 13-5: Los Helados - Py:Cp Ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Py : Cp Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+16 years</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to improve this separation, the Phase II testwork program targeted:

- Use of optimum regrind sizes in cleaner flotation
- Pyrite depression using lime buffering
- Selective flotation techniques using selective collectors.

The mineralogical analysis indicated that the main copper sulphide mineral present is chalcopyrite (97% average by weight) with traces of chalcocite/digenite and bornite (Figure 13-1).

### 13.1.5 Physical Characterization

Physical characterization testwork was carried out on representative splits for each of the three samples for the Phase I program. The characterization work included Bond ball mill work indices (BWi), Bond rod mill work indices (RWi), abrasion indices (Ai), SAG power index (SPI) testing, and semi-autogenous grind (SAG) mill competency (SMC) tests. The average results for these tests are provided in Table 13-6.

The results show that the three composite samples tested can be classified as hard material according to the SMC test results. This classification was also confirmed by the results of the SPI test conducted. In relation to the BWi and RWi results, the three composite samples tested can be considered as moderately hard. Finally, all the samples tested reported a low Ai classification (low to moderate consumption rates of grinding media and other process plant wear consumables).

In Phase II of the program, physical characterization test work was carried out on three composite samples and 30 variability samples. Specifically, the characterization work included BWi, RWi and SMC testing. Additional work included specific gravity and Ai determinations for each of the three composite samples (Table 13-7). The variability test results (Table 13-8) show that the hardness of the material within each zone defined is very homogeneous and classified as very hard material ($A \times b < 30$) to hard material ($A \times b 30$ to 38). This confirmed the Phase I tests results that the deposit is...
homogeneous from a hardness perspective and contains very competent material throughout.

**Table 13-6: Composite Samples Head Physical Characterization, Los Helados Phase I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID/Test</th>
<th>Specific gravity</th>
<th>Bond Ball BWi (kWh/mt)</th>
<th>Bond Rod RWI (kWh/mt)</th>
<th>Bond Abrasion index Ai</th>
<th>SMC Axb</th>
<th>DWi Kwh/m³</th>
<th>Mia Kwh/t</th>
<th>Mih kWh/t</th>
<th>Mic kWh/t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper zone</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate zone</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep zone</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 13-7: Composite Samples Head Physical Characterization, Los Helados Phase II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID/Test</th>
<th>Specific Gravity</th>
<th>Bond Abrasion Index (Ai)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years 1–7</td>
<td>2.762</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 8–15</td>
<td>2.792</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 16+</td>
<td>2.760</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 13-8: Variability Samples Physical Characterization Los Helados Phase II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone/Parameter</th>
<th>Sample ID/Test</th>
<th>Specific Gravity</th>
<th>Bond Ball BWi (kWh/mt)</th>
<th>Bond Rod RWi (kWh/mt)</th>
<th>SMC (A x b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years 1–7</td>
<td>VAR 1 to VAR 10</td>
<td>2.61 to 2.74</td>
<td>15.16 to 20.18</td>
<td>13.48 to 17.90</td>
<td>22.0 to 28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 8–15</td>
<td>VAR 11 to VAR 20</td>
<td>2.66 to 2.77</td>
<td>15.82 to 18.73</td>
<td>14.84 to 17.82</td>
<td>22.0 to 26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 16+</td>
<td>VAR 21 to VAR 30</td>
<td>2.66 to 2.76</td>
<td>15.57 to 18.92</td>
<td>14.53 to 18.28</td>
<td>23.0 to 31.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 13-1: Elemental Copper Deportment, Los Helados

Note: Phase I to right, Phase II to left. Figure prepared Amec Foster Wheeler, 2015. Table to the left uses the Spanish convention where decimal points are represented as commas, e.g. 0,06 = 0.06.
13.1.6 Gravity Recoverable Gold

Standard Knelson three-stage gravity recoverable gold tests were conducted. The results indicate that the deposit does not contain appreciable free Au and that most of the Au in the deposit is contained in sulphide minerals. This conclusion is supported by the results of the sulphide flotation test work which has good Au recoveries.

13.1.7 Conventional Flotation

A sulphide flotation program was developed in the Phase I program on three fresh composite samples for the production of Au–Ag rich Cu concentrates, using a conventional sulphide flotation circuit flowsheet. The flotation program consisted of the evaluation of roughing and cleaning stages with the following variables assessed:

- Primary grind and cleaner regrind size effects
- Collector, frother and pulp solids percentage effect on rougher flotation
- Evaluation of pH on rougher and cleaner flotation stages.

Four separate locked cycle tests were completed for each zone of the deposit utilizing different conditions. The optimized results for each sample from the locked cycle tests considering the average of the last three cycles are presented in Table 13-9 where the metal recoveries are reported.

For the Phase II program, the flotation testwork was performed on three new composites and 30 variability samples in order to improve the copper recoveries and grades from the first program and to understand the deposit variability. Flotation parameter evaluations were performed on the three composite samples, and the optimum parameters then applied to the variability samples in OCTs.

Variables for the OCTs included:

- Rougher flotation:
  - Primary grind feed size effect
  - Collector effect
  - pH and depressor effect for pyrite depression
  - Rougher stage flotation residence time.

- Cleaning flotation:
  - Rougher concentrate regrind size effect
  - pH and depressor effect for pyrite depression
First cleaning stage flotation residence time.

The variables were optimised and then applied to the LCTs conducted on the composite and variability samples. Tests were predominantly completed using fresh (tap) water, although some initial OCTs were also conducted using seawater.

In general terms, the composite samples tested reported good results using conventional sulphide flotation with respect to global Cu and Au grades and recoveries (Table 13-10 and Table 13-11).

Three out of the 30 variability samples were additionally tested using LCTs. The variability samples tested reported high global Cu and Au recovery results using conventional sulphide flotation (Table 13-12 and Table 13-13). Thus, the recovery results from the variability samples confirm those for the composite sample LCTs.

In terms of third cleaner Cu concentrate grade, high recovery results were reported for the years 1–7 and years 8–15 composite samples. However, a low final copper concentrate grade was reported for the years 16+ composite sample. This is explained by the high percentage of pyrite estimated to be contained in the final concentrate (Table 13-14), because the increased pyrite recovered to the concentrate dilutes the recovered copper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Calculated Feed Cu Grade (%)</th>
<th>Mass to Concentrate (%)</th>
<th>Global Recovery to Final Concentrate Cu %</th>
<th>Au %</th>
<th>Ag %</th>
<th>Fe %</th>
<th>S %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper zone</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate zone</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep zone</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13-10: Composite Samples Metal Recovery from Flotation LCT, Los Helados Phase II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone/Parameter</th>
<th>Calculated Feed Cu grade</th>
<th>Mass to Concentrate</th>
<th>Global Recovery to Final Concentrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Cu %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 1–7</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 8–15</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 16+</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13-11: Composite Samples Elements and Impurities Contained in the LCT Final Concentrate, Los Helados Phase II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Final Concentrate Grades</th>
<th>Years 1–7</th>
<th>Years 8–15</th>
<th>Years 16+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculated feed Cu grade %</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu %</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au g/t</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag g/t</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe %</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S %</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu Sol %</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd %</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn %</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As %</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble %</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg ppm</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb %</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl %</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13-12: Variability Samples Metal Recovery from Flotation LCT, Los Helados Phase II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone/Parameter</th>
<th>Calculated Feed Cu Grade</th>
<th>Mass to Concentrate</th>
<th>Global Recovery to Final Concentrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Cu %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAR 5</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAR 17</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAR 29</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 13-13: Variability Samples Elements and Impurities Contained in the LCT Final Concentrate, Los Helados Phase II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Final Concentrate Grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VAR 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated feed Cu grade %</td>
<td>0.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu %</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au g/t</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag g/t</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe %</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S %</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu Sol %</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd %</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn %</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As %</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble %</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg ppm</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb %</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl %</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 13-14: Pyrite Content Estimation in Feed and Concentrate LCT, Los Helados Phase II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone/Parameter</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Calculated Feed Cu Grade</th>
<th>Feed Estimated Pyrite Content %</th>
<th>Concentrate Cu Grade</th>
<th>Concentrate Estimated Pyrite Content %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years 1–7</td>
<td>Years 1–7</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 8–15</td>
<td>Years 8–15</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 16+</td>
<td>Years 16+</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 1–7</td>
<td>VAR 5</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 8–15</td>
<td>VAR 17</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 16+</td>
<td>VAR 29</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>44.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional optimization work will need to be conducted for the years 16+ years sample in order to improve the overall concentrate copper grade.

### 13.1.8 Metallurgical Variability

The metallurgical testwork to date is based on samples which adequately represent the variability of the deposit with respect to physical and chemical characterisation for this stage of study. Additional testwork will be required to support more advanced mining studies. Physical characterisation was conducted on variability samples with relatively consistent results. Flotation open circuit tests confirmed that the deposit is reasonably homogeneous with respect to physical and chemical properties.

### 13.1.9 Deleterious Elements

No major deleterious elements issues were noted in the concentrates produced from the testwork completed. The concentrates are considered to be marketable without incurring penalties for deleterious elements.
14.0 MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATES

The Mineral Resource estimate discussed in this section is unchanged from that described in Ovalle et al. (2016).

14.1 Introduction

The Mineral Resource estimate for the Los Helados deposit was prepared by and under the supervision of, Mr. Gino Zandonai, RM CMC. The Mineral Resource estimate is supported by 74 drill holes (five RC and 69 core), and 35,629 assay results.

Three drill holes were drilled subsequent to the resource estimate, during the 2014–2015 field season (LHDH72, LHDHG02 and LHDHG03). Only one of these drill holes, LHDH72 was assayed, the other two were geotechnical holes and have been retained as whole core. The data from LHDH72 provide an excellent check on the validity of the block model and the assayed values correspond very well to the grades of the adjacent blocks.

The QP responsible for the resource estimate, G. Zandonai, has reviewed the economic and technical parameters used to derive the mineral resource estimate. In his opinion, there have been no material changes to these parameters such that they would impact the resource estimate in any way.

In the opinion of the QP, G. Zandonai, the previous resource estimate remains valid with respect to all technical and economic information, and accordingly the effective date of the estimate is April 26, 2019.

14.2 Geological Models

A 2D interpretation based on logged data was completed by NGEx geologists on east–west oriented sections spaced 100 m apart. Two-dimensional lines were then exported from GEMS and imported into the Leapfrog geological modelling software and the final 3D wireframe solids were constructed.

Three separate layers within the model were constructed to guide the resource estimation: lithology; alteration and mineral zones (minzones). Prior to the completion of the geological model, metallurgical zone models were also constructed in order to assign each block to a metallurgical sample domain.

14.3 Exploratory Data Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed for Cu, Au, Ag, Mo, S, Fe and As and SG samples and included reviews of the number of samples, total length, minimum, maximum mean value, standard deviation, and CV.
All lithological contacts were treated as hard boundaries during the grade interpolation process.

14.4 **Density Assignment**

Density values used in estimation are outlined in Section 11-2.

14.5 **Grade Capping/Outlier Restrictions**

An examination of the grade distributions resulted in grade capping being applied in certain domains. Depending on the domain, Cu caps ranged from 2–3% Cu, though most domains were not capped. Gold was capped at 2 g/t Au and Ag at 20 g/t Ag.

14.6 **Composites**

The drill hole assays were compositd to 2 m intervals to maintain the majority sampling interval (93% of assayed intervals at 2 m) and to avoid spreading composites across geological domains in case of larger composite sizes.

14.7 **Variography**

Experimental variogram analysis for Cu, Au, Ag, Mo, As, Fe and S was performed using the composites based on the lithology domains. The experimental variography was performed using Supervisor.

14.8 **Estimation/Interpolation Methods**

A 3D block model of the deposit was built with 25 x 25 x 15 m (X,Y,Z) blocks for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. The block model covered an area of 2.5 km by 1.95 km on plan, and had a 2.5 km vertical extent.

The interpolation plan and the search distances for OK and ID2 weighting methods were based on the geostatistical analysis and variogram parameters. According to this plan, Cu, Au, Ag, Mo, As, S and Fe were interpolated within the lithology zones in the model. All elements were interpolated using OK. The ID2 and NN methods were performed only for Cu and Au for validation and checking purposes of the global bias.

OK and ID2 interpolation was done in a single pass. A minimum of two and a maximum of 50 composites, with maximum 15 composites from the same hole were used for the interpolation, to allow maximum spread of the data used to estimate blocks. For estimation of the kriging and block variance, a 3 x 3 x 3 discretization of the block was selected. The major, semi-major and minor axes of the search ellipse were set to the corresponding radius defined by the omnidirectional variograms.
14.9 **Block Model Validation**

Model validation was carried out using visual comparison of blocks and sample grades in plan and section views. A statistical comparison of the block and composite grade distributions and swath plots to compare OK, ID2 and NN estimates were also used.

14.10 **Classification of Mineral Resources**

Mineral Resource classification uses the 2014 CIM Definition Standards. Classifications were based on a two-step process, as follows:

- **Indicated:** distance to the nearest drill hole from the centre of the block less than or equal to 75 m and at least three drill holes used for the grade interpolation and the kriging efficiency estimation greater than 0.33
- **Inferred:** distance to the nearest drill hole from the block 75 to 150 m, at least two drill holes used for the grade interpolation, and kriging efficiency estimation less than 0.33

Two smoothed buffer wireframes were created in Leapfrog, one at 75 m and one at 150 m. Inferred blocks inside the 75 m wireframe were re-classified as Indicated, while any Indicated blocks outside of the 75 m buffer but within the 150 m buffer were re-classified as Inferred. A final phase of visual inspection of the resulting classification was performed for validation purposes.

14.11 **Reasonable Prospects of Eventual Economic Extraction**

Underground, block cave mining shapes were generated using the following assumptions:

- **Cu price:** US$3.00/lb
- **Au price:** US$1,300/oz
- **Ag price:** US$23/oz
- **Operating cost (incl. G&A):** US$13.07/t
- **Capital cost:** Provision based on production rate
- **Metallurgical recoveries** as follows (average life-of-mine):
  - **Upper Zone** (between the surface and 200–250 m depth): 83.1% Cu, 72.8% Au and 31% Ag
  - **Intermediate Zone** (between 200–250 m and approximately 500–600 m depth): 90.2% Cu, 80.3% Au and 54.9% Ag
  - **Deep Zone** (>500–600 m depth): 93.1% Cu, 82.5% Au and 70.5% Ag.
• Dilution: Laubscher’s model.

A CuEq grade was calculated using US$3.00/lb Cu, US$1,300/oz Au and US$23/oz Ag, and includes a provision for selling costs (Table 14-1) and metallurgical recoveries corresponding to the three zones that were defined by depth below surface.
Table 14-1: Parameters to Estimate Equivalent Copper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Value Units</th>
<th>Metal Price</th>
<th>Selling Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US$/lb</td>
<td>US$/oz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The formulae used are:

- \( \text{CuEq}\% = \text{Cu}\% + 0.6264 \times \text{Au (g/t)} + 0.0047 \times \text{Ag (g/t)} \) for the Upper Zone (surface to ~250 m)
- \( \text{CuEq}\% = \text{Cu}\% + 0.6366 \times \text{Au (g/t)} + 0.0077 \times \text{Ag (g/t)} \) for the Intermediate Zone (~250 m to ~600 m)
- \( \text{CuEq}\% = \text{Cu}\% + 0.6337 \times \text{Au (g/t)} + 0.0096 \times \text{Ag (g/t)} \) for the Deep Zone (> ~600 m).

Five different block cave shapes were evaluated (listed in Table 14-2 as different cutoff grades). The base-case diluted cutoff grade of 0.33% CuEq was determined as the lowest cutoff grade which produced a positive NPV using the given assumptions, and the base case Mineral Resource estimate is the sum of all the blocks within this block cave shape, including those that are less than 0.33% CuEq (internal dilution).

14.12 Mineral Resource Statement

The Mineral Resource estimate assuming block cave underground mining methods is reported using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards. Indicated and Inferred classifications only have been estimated; no Measured Mineral Resources were classified.

The Mineral Resource estimate was prepared by Gino Zandonai, RM CMC.

Mineral Resources are summarized in Table 14-2. The estimate has an effective date of April 26, 2019. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
Table 14-2: Mineral Resource Estimate for Los Helados Assuming Underground Block Cave Methods (base case is highlighted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff (CuEq)</th>
<th>Tonnage (million tonnes)</th>
<th>Resource Grade</th>
<th>CuEq (%)</th>
<th>Cu (billion lbs)</th>
<th>Au (million oz)</th>
<th>Ag (million oz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>0.50 0.21 1.66 0.65</td>
<td>5.9 3.6 28.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>0.45 0.18 1.56 0.58</td>
<td>9.7 5.7 49.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1,395</td>
<td>0.42 0.16 1.52 0.54</td>
<td>12.9 7.2 68.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1,733</td>
<td>0.40 0.15 1.45 0.51</td>
<td>15.3 8.4 80.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2,099</td>
<td>0.38 0.15 1.37 0.48</td>
<td>17.6 10.1 92.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Los Helados Indicated Mineral Resource

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff (CuEq)</th>
<th>Tonnage (million tonnes)</th>
<th>Resource Grade</th>
<th>CuEq (%)</th>
<th>Cu (billion lbs)</th>
<th>Au (million oz)</th>
<th>Ag (million oz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>0.50 0.21 1.66 0.65</td>
<td>5.9 3.6 28.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>0.45 0.18 1.56 0.58</td>
<td>9.7 5.7 49.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1,395</td>
<td>0.42 0.16 1.52 0.54</td>
<td>12.9 7.2 68.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1,733</td>
<td>0.40 0.15 1.45 0.51</td>
<td>15.3 8.4 80.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2,099</td>
<td>0.38 0.15 1.37 0.48</td>
<td>17.6 10.1 92.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes to accompany Los Helados Mineral Resource table

1. Mineral Resource estimate has an effective date of April 26, 2019. The Qualified Person for the estimate is Mr. Gino Zandonai, RM CMC.
2. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
3. Mineral Resources are reported using a copper equivalent (CuEq) cutoff grade. Copper equivalent is calculated using US$3.00/lb copper, US$1,300/oz gold and US$23/oz Ag, and includes a provision for selling costs and metallurgical recoveries corresponding to three zones defined by depth below surface. The formulas used are:
   CuEq% = Cu% + 0.6264*Au (g/t) + 0.0047*Ag (g/t) for the Upper Zone (surface to ~ 250 m);
   Cu% + 0.6366*Au (g/t) + 0.0077*Ag (g/t) for the Intermediate Zone (~250 m to ~600 m);
   Cu% + 0.6337*Au (g/t) + 0.0096*Ag (g/t) for the Deep Zone (> ~600 m).
4. Cutoff grades refer to diluted cutoff grades used to generate the corresponding block cave shapes. For each cutoff grade, the tonnes and grade represent the total Indicated or Inferred undiluted material within each of these shapes.
5. Mineral Resources are reported within block cave underground mining shapes based on diluted CuEq grades, US$13.07/t operating costs and include a provision for capital expenditure. The base case cutoff grade of 0.33% CuEq was derived through an economic evaluation of several block cave shapes developed over a range of different cutoff grades and is the cutoff grade which results in a zero net present value.
6. Totals may not sum due to rounding as required by reporting guidelines.

14.13 Factors That May Affect the Mineral Resource Estimate

Mineral Resource estimates may be affected by the following factors:

- Changes in interpretations of mineralization geometry and continuity of mineralization zones.
• Assumptions used in generating the block cave shapes for the Mineral Resources considered amenable to underground mining methods, including geotechnical and hydrogeological parameters
• Metallurgical and mining recoveries
• Operating and capital cost assumptions
• Metal price and exchange rate assumptions
• Concentrate grade and smelting/refining terms
• Confidence in the modifying factors, including assumptions that surface rights to allow infrastructure such as tailings storage facilities and desalination plants to be constructed will be forthcoming
• Delays or other issues in reaching agreements with local or regulatory authorities and stakeholders
• Changes in land tenure requirements or in permitting requirements.
23.0  ADJACENT PROPERTIES

This section is not relevant to this Report.
24.0 OTHER RELEVANT DATA AND INFORMATION

The Los Helados deposit has been investigated and reported in two Preliminary Economic Assessments.

The first was reported in “Los Helados Cu-Au Deposit, Atacama Region III, Chile, NI 43-101 Technical Report on Preliminary Economic Assessment” and was completed by AMEC with an effective date of October 1, 2014. This study considered a stand alone operation, mining the deposit as a block cave underground mine with a processing plant and associated infrastructure in Chile.

The second study was reported in “Constellation Project Incorporating the Los Helados deposit, Chile and the Josemaria Deposit, Argentina, NI 43-101 Technical Report on Preliminary Economic Assessment” with an effective date of February 12, 2016. This study evaluated a combined operation, beginning with an open pit mine at Josemaria which provided early production while the block cave at Los Helados was ramped up. Both deposits were processed at a central flotation plant located in Argentina.

Geotechnical evaluations were performed using available geological models, and drill hole data from 45 holes in the exploration database that had lithology, survey and geotechnical data such as RQD (measured by NGEx).

These data were augmented by specific geotechnical core logging performed on six drill holes (3,350 m) to estimate the rock mass rating (RMR_{L90},) with 18 UCS laboratory tests and 717 point load tests also performed.

Subsequent to this, a dedicated block cave geomechanics study was conducted, which included drilling two oriented geotechnical drill holes (2,100 m). Testing included; televiewer surveys, Lugeon testing (also known as Packer testing, which is an in-situ testing method widely used to estimate the average hydraulic conductivity of rock formations), and 230 point load tests.

An additional geomechanics laboratory testing program was conducted consisting of 84 UCS tests, 46 elastic property tests, 51 tensile tests, and 55 triaxial tests. Geotechnical logging, televiewer surveys and Lugeon tests were also performed on a single core hole (1,100 m) drilled as part of this campaign.
25.0 INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS

25.1 Mineral Tenure, Surface Rights and Royalties

Legal opinion provided supports that NGEx currently holds an indirect majority interest in the Los Helados Project, consisting of a 63% interest in the MFDO Claims in Chile which cover the deposit, a 60% interest in the La Rioja Properties and a 100% interest in the Pampa Claims, both located in Argentina. The MFDO Claims and the La Rioja Properties are held jointly with Pan Pacific Copper Corporation, Ltd.

Legal opinion provided supports that the mineral tenures held are valid and that the mineral tenure is sufficient to support declaration of Mineral Resources.

Surface land rights in the area of the Los Helados deposit (in Chile) are held by a local community “Comunidad Civil Ex Estancia Pulido”. NGEx has an agreement (the “Pulido Agreement”) dated August 25, 2016 that provides for surface access and occupation and to conduct exploration and exploitation activities including construction and operation of a mine in return for annual payments and bullet payments on achievement of certain project milestones.

There are no royalties payable on Los Helados except for the 0.6% Net Profits interest due under the Pulido Agreement. The Government of Chile levies a mining tax that is a tax on operational mining income, applied on a sliding-scale rate basis of between 5% and 14% depending on operating margins.

NGEx is not aware of any significant environmental, social or permitting issues that would prevent future exploitation of the Project deposit other than as discussed in this Report.

25.2 Exploration

Exploration activities conducted by NGEx resulted in the discovery of the Los Helados deposit. Exploration activities completed have been appropriate to the deposit style.

Once the main deposit was discovered, all the exploration effort shifted to deposit definition drilling, and exploration on the other exploration targets was suspended. These additional targets include geochemical anomalies similar in size and tenor to those that were identified over the known deposit, and have coincident geophysical targets and mapped alteration features that are consistent with porphyry-style mineralization. Given that porphyry deposits occur in clusters, and the exploration targets are in the vicinity of the Los Helados deposit, there is excellent exploration potential to identify additional porphyry-hosted mineralization. Additional exploration work is recommended in order to continue to advance them.

The Los Helados deposit remains open at depth and to the north.
25.3 **Geology and Mineralization**

The knowledge of the deposit settings, lithologies, mineralization and alteration controls on copper and gold grades are sufficient to support Mineral Resource estimation.

25.4 **Drilling**

The quantity and quality of the lithological, collar and down-hole survey data collected in the exploration and infill drill programs completed are sufficient to support Mineral Resource estimation.

25.5 **Sampling and Assay**

The quality of the copper and gold analytical data is sufficiently reliable to support Mineral Resource estimation without limitations on Mineral Resource confidence categories.

25.6 **Data Verification**

A reasonable level of verification has been completed during the work conducted to date, and no material issues would have been left unidentified from the verification programs undertaken. Mineral Resource estimates can be supported by the data collected.

25.7 **Metallurgical Testwork**

Two phases of metallurgical testwork have been conducted.

Recovery estimates for Cu, Au, and Ag have been established from this work. High Cu recoveries were achieved using a conventional sulphide flotation process. The process also reported relatively high Au and average Ag recoveries. Concentrates containing saleable Cu, Au and Ag grades were obtained using a conventional sulphide flotation process.

The metallurgical testwork to date is based on samples which adequately represent the variability of the deposit with respect to physical and chemical characterisation for this stage of study. Additional testwork will be required to support more detailed studies.

Flotation open circuit tests confirmed that the deposit is reasonably homogeneous with respect to physical and chemical properties, although limited variability testing will be required going forward.

Low levels of concentrate impurities such as As, Cd, and Hg reported to the final Cu concentrate, and are below the generally accepted levels at which penalties are imposed.

There is still some pyrite reporting to the final flotation concentrate samples. It is understood from analysis of these concentrates and the minerals present, and due to
the fact that fixed flowsheet conditions were employed, that these levels of pyrite could be reduced, resulting in higher Cu grade concentrates.

25.8 Mineral Resource Estimation

Mineral Resource estimation follows standard industry procedures. Mineral Resources are classified using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards. Estimates assume block cave mining at Los Helados. Reasonable prospects of eventual economic extraction were applied, considering that mining method, and appropriately constrains the estimate.

The QP responsible for the resource estimate, G. Zandonai, has reviewed the economic and technical parameters used to derive the mineral resource estimate. In his opinion, there have been no material changes to these parameters such that they would impact the resource estimate in any way.

In the opinion of the QP, G. Zandonai, the previous resource estimate remains valid with respect to all technical and economic information, and accordingly the effective date of the estimate is April 26, 2019.

Factors that can affect the estimate include: changes in interpretations of mineralization geometry and continuity of mineralization zones; assumptions used in generating the block cave shapes, including geotechnical and hydrogeological parameters; metallurgical recoveries; operating and capital cost assumptions; metal price and exchange rate assumptions; concentrate grade and smelting/refining terms; confidence in the modifying factors, including assumptions that surface rights to allow infrastructure such as tailings storage facilities and desalination plants to be constructed will be forthcoming; delays or other issues in reaching agreements with local or regulatory authorities and changes in land tenure requirements or in permitting requirements from those discussed in this Report.
26.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Los Helados is a significant copper-gold-silver deposit that clearly warrants additional work to continue to de-risk it through additional engineering studies. This work should proceed in two phases, leading to the completion of a revised standalone PEA study.

26.1 Phase I

The Phase 1 work program comprises updating the mineral resource and investigating options and trade off studies for mine planning.

26.1.1 Mineral Resource

Assays from drillhole LHDH072 completed in 2015 are available, but have not been incorporated into the current mineral resource. Additional geological studies have also resulted in a slight revision to the geological interpretation. The mineral resource should be updated to incorporate these changes. The current resource is considered to be robust, and it is not anticipated that an update will result in a significant change in the overall tonnage or grade, however some of the Indicated material is likely to be classified as Measured with the additional drill information.

There are also two geotechnical holes which have been maintained as whole core (i.e. have not been sampled for assay). Consideration should be given to sampling and assaying these holes and incorporation of this new data into the resource update which should further increase the Measured mineral resource.

26.1.2 Mine Planning

Following the resource update, continuation and refinement of the mine planning exercises completed as part of the standalone and Project Constellation PEA’s should be undertaken. The goal of these studies should be to investigate alternate mining methods, such as sub-level caving, which could potentially reduce the up-front capital expenditure requirements and shorten the lead time to production.

26.2 Phase II

A second phase of work should involve updating the previous Los Helados stand alone PEA to incorporate the updated resource model and mine planning work, including various project optimizations from the Los Helados portion of Project Constellation.

26.2.1 Infrastructure

A review should be conducted of all infrastructure location and design assumptions. This should include:

- Additional modeling in support of mine dewatering and project water supply
• Proposed locations of the mill feed material handling systems (e.g. conveyors, transfer stations)
• A trade-off study that assesses the optimal tailings disposal method, TSF locations and construction methods
• A review of power supply alternatives
• Alternative considerations for tunnel and road locations
• Review of optimal camp locations
• Evaluation of assumptions as to the port infrastructure and port access.

26.2.2 Environmental, Permitting and Stakeholder Considerations

A PEA level, project-licensing strategy should be designed that takes into account the regulatory framework, social context and environmental sensitivities of the Project.

The following steps are recommended for the formulation and execution of the licensing strategy to the pre-feasibility level:

• Align the legal, environmental and social licensing strategy to the strategic objectives of the project
• Carry out a risk assessment on these strategies and generate risk response approaches
• Design a plan for the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), permitting and public participation, addressing the issues identified to date and promoting feedback into the strategy
• Analyze the environmental aspects of the preferred option in order to understand the interaction with sensitive issues and areas
• Apply environmental design criteria based on national regulations and international guidelines
• Continue ongoing baseline environmental programs

26.2.3 Report Compilation

Information should be incorporated into a stand-alone PEA document.

26.3 Budget Estimate

Table 26-1 summarizes the costs to complete Phases 1 and 2 of the recommendations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Phase</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Estimated Costs (US$ x 1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>Resource Update</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mine Planning Studies</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>Mine Design &amp; Production Schedule Optimization</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Studies and Field Work</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study Management and Reporting</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>800</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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